arXiv:1004.3349v2 [math.AP] 18 Nov 2011

ON ALMOST GLOBAL EXISTENCE AND LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS FOR SOME 3-D QUASI-LINEAR WAVE EQUATIONS

KUNIO HIDANO, CHENGBO WANG, AND KAZUYOSHI YOKOYAMA

Abstract. We study the Cauchy problem for a quasilinear wave equation with low-regularity data. A space-time L^2 estimate for the variable coefficient wave equation plays a central role for this purpose. Assuming radial symmetry, we establish the almost global existence of a strong solution for every small initial data in $H^2 \times H^1$. We also show that the initial value problem is locally well-posed.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Space-time L^2 estimate 5
3. Local Existence 12
3.1. Preliminaries 12
3.2. Boundedness of $\{\phi_k\}$ in $X_2(T) \cap Y_2(T)$ 14
3.3. Convergence in $X_1(T) \cap Y_1(T)$ 16
4. Regularity 18
4.1. Preliminaries 18
4.2. Proof of Lemma 4.1 21
5. Almost Global Existence 25
6. Continuous dependence on the data 26
6.1. Preliminaries 26
6.2. Continuous Dependence on the Data 32
References 33

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the quasilinear wave equation

$$\partial_t^2\phi - \Delta\phi + h(\phi)\Delta\phi = F(\partial\phi) \quad \text{in } (0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^3 \tag{1.1}$$

for a real-valued function $\phi = \phi(t,x)$, obeying the initial conditions

$$\phi(0,\cdot) = f, \quad \partial_t\phi(0,\cdot) = g. \tag{1.2}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35L15, 35L72.

The first author was partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No.20540165), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

The second author was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, NSFC 10871175 and 10911120383.

We assume that the function h is smooth and $h(0) = 0$. We also assume that F is smooth and quadratic with respect to $\partial\phi = (\partial\phi/\partial t, \nabla\phi)$, where $\nabla\phi = (\partial\phi/\partial x^1, \partial\phi/\partial x^2, \partial\phi/\partial x^3)$. Assuming radial symmetry, we aim at showing the almost global existence of a low regularity solution to the initial value problem (1.1), (1.2).

When the equation (1.1) is semilinear, namely $h \equiv 0$, Ponce-Sideris [14] proved that the initial value problem (1.1), (1.2) is locally well-posed in $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ if $s > 2$. Smith-Tataru [17] further proved that the local well-posedness in $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ holds for the quasilinear case with $s > 2$. These results are sharp in general, because the examples given by Lindblad [9], [10] show that we cannot always expect the well-posedness for quadratically nonlinear wave equations in $H^2 \times H^1$. However, as far as radially symmetric solutions are concerned, there is still room for investigation. Indeed, we know by Klainerman-Machedon [6] that the Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2) is locally well-posed in $H^2_{\rm rad} \times H^1_{\rm rad}$, if the equation (1.1) is semilinear (here $H^m_{\rm rad}$ denotes the set of all functions in H^m with radial symmetry). Furthermore, the $H^2 \times H^1$-radial solutions to the semilinear Cauchy problem exist almost globally for small initial data (Hidano-Yokoyama [3]). In view of these results, it is naturally expected to extend the study of the $H^2 \times H^1$-radial solutions to the quasilinear case. We will show that the quasilinear Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2) is locally well-posed in $H^2_{\rm rad} \times H^1_{\rm rad}$, and the radial solutions exist almost globally for small data.

Before stating the main result, let us introduce some function spaces. For brevity's sake, we set $S_T = (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ in what follows. Firstly, we set

$$X_m(T) = \bigcap_{j=0}^{m} C^j([0,T]; H^{m-j}_{\rm rad}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \quad \text{for } m = 1, 2.$$

For each $\phi \in X_m(T)$ we let

$$\|\phi\|_{X_m(T)} = \|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|\phi\|_{E_m(T)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|_{E_1(T)} &= \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|\partial_t\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))}, \\ \|\phi\|_{E_2(T)} &= \|\phi\|_{E_1(T)} + \|\nabla\phi\|_{E_1(T)} + \|\partial_t\phi\|_{E_1(T)}. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, we define

$$Y_m(T) = \{\phi\, ;\, \phi \in L^1_{\rm loc}(S_T),\ \ \|\phi\|_{Y_m(T)} < \infty\}$$

for $m = 1, 2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|^2_{Y_1(T)} &= (1+T)^{-1/2}\left(\|r^{-5/4}\phi\|^2_{L^2(S_T)} + \|r^{-1/4}\partial\phi\|^2_{L^2(S_T)}\right), \\ \|\phi\|^2_{Y_2(T)} &= \|\phi\|^2_{Y_1(T)} + \|\partial\phi\|^2_{Y_1(T)}. \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, we set

$$Z_m(T) = \{\phi\, ;\, \phi \in L^1_{\rm loc}(S_T),\ \ \|\phi\|_{Z_m(T)} < \infty\}$$

for $m = 1, 2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|^2_{Z_1(T)} &= \big(\log(2+T)\big)^{-1}\big(\|r^{-5/4}\langle r\rangle^{-1/4}\phi\|^2_{L^2(S_T)} \\ &\qquad + \|r^{-1/4}\langle r\rangle^{-1/4}\partial\phi\|^2_{L^2(S_T)}\big), \\ \|\phi\|^2_{Z_2(T)} &= \|\phi\|^2_{Z_1(T)} + \|\partial\phi\|^2_{Z_1(T)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $Y_m(T) \subset Z_m(T)$. Moreover, we can easily see that $C^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3) \cap X_m(T) \subset Y_m(T)$ $(m = 1, 2)$.

Now let us state our main result. Since we are concerned only with radially symmetric solutions, we naturally suppose that $F(\partial\phi)$ takes the form

$$F(\partial\phi) = a(\partial_t\phi)^2 + b|\nabla\phi|^2$$

for some constants a, b. After reading the proofs of our results, however, the readers will be convinced that we can more generally consider the case where the coefficients a and b depend on the unknown function ϕ.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that* $(f,g) \in H^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^1_{\mathrm{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$*. Then there exist positive constants* A_1, ε_0 *with the following property: if* (f,g) *and* T *satisfy*

$$\|\nabla f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|g\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{and} \quad T \le \exp(A_1\varepsilon^{-1}),$$

then the initial value problem (1.1), (1.2) *has a solution* $\phi \in X_2(T) \cap Y_2(T)$*. Also, there exists a constant* M_1 *such that*

$$\|\phi\|_{E_2(T)} + \|\phi\|_{Y_2(T)} + \|\phi\|_{Z_2(T)} \le M_1\varepsilon.$$

Here the constants A_1*,* ε_0 *and* M_1 *depend on* a*,* b*, and* h*.*

We notice that, since $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the solution ϕ satisfies the equation (1.1) as an equality in $C([0,T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$.

We next consider the problem of well-posedness. It is shown in Section 6.2 that the solution map $\Phi : (f,g) \mapsto \phi$ is well-defined on the set

$$D(\varepsilon_0) = \{(f,g)\,;\, (f,g) \in H^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^1_{\mathrm{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^3), \|\nabla f\|_{H^1} + \|g\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon_0\},$$

where ϕ is a solution of (1.1), (1.2) with $T = T_\varepsilon = \exp(A_1/\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon = \|\nabla f\|_{H^1} + \|g\|_{H^1}$. $D(\varepsilon_0)$ is a topological space with the relative topology in $\dot{H}^1 \times L^2$. Our result of well-posedness is as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *The solution map* $\Phi : D(\varepsilon_0) \to X_1(T_{\varepsilon_0}) \cap Y_1(T_{\varepsilon_0})$ *is well-defined and Lipschitz continuous.*

As mentioned above, Hidano-Yokoyama [3] proved the almost global existence of radial solutions for small initial data in $H^2 \times H^1$, when $h = 0$ in (1.1). The key to this result is an effective use of the space-time L^2 estimate

$$\begin{aligned}(1.3)\qquad \big(\log(2+T)\big)^{-1/2}\Big(\, &\|r^{-3/2+\mu}\langle r\rangle^{-\mu}\phi\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &+\|r^{-1/2+\mu}\langle r\rangle^{-\mu}\partial\phi\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^3)}\Big) \\ \le\ & C\Big(\|\nabla f\|_{L^2} + \|g\|_{L^2} + \int_0^T \|\Box\phi(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2}dt\Big),\end{aligned}$$

where $r = |x|$, $\langle r\rangle = (1+r^2)^{1/2}$ and $0 < \mu < 1/2$ (see also the Appendix of [2]). This type of inequalities is originally used in Keel-Smith-Sogge [4], and is very useful, because the right-hand side of (1.3) is the same as that of the energy inequality. Combining this with the energy inequality, we can prove the almost global existence for the semilinear case. In order to adapt this approach in such a way that we can apply it to the present problem, we need to prove a space-time L^2 estimate for the perturbed wave equation with a variable coefficient differential operator (Theorem 2.1). This generalization was made by Metcalfe-Sogge [13]. They employed the method of Rodnianski [18]; a space-time L^2 estimate is derived from an energy-momentum tensor, which produces several useful quantities by contracting it with suitable vector fields. The vector field they used takes the form

$$X=\sum_{a=1}^{n}\frac{x^a}{\rho+r}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}.$$

Since their $L^2_{t,x}$ estimate was for the study of the initial-boundary value problem in a domain exterior to obstacles, we need to supplement their estimate with an $L^2_{t,x}$ estimate near the spatial origin. Following Metcalfe's suggestions [12], we use

$$X=\sum_{a=1}^{n}\left(\frac{r}{1+r}\right)^{\kappa}\frac{x^a}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}$$

for $0<\kappa<1$ and obtain a space-time L^2 estimate on $(0,T)\times\{r<1\}$. Combining this with the estimate of Metcalfe-Sogge [13], we can obtain an $L^2_{t,x}$ estimate on $(0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n$, which plays a central role in our study.

As is usual with the quasilinear problems, we are faced with difficulties caused by the loss of derivatives, when solving the initial value problem (1.1), (1.2) by successive approximations. Because the loss of derivatives prevents us from showing the convergence of the second derivatives, it is far from trivial to prove that the solution thereby obtained has the regularity stated in Theorem 1.1. In order to prove the continuity in time of the second derivatives, we use the method of difference quotient. We find in the proof that the space-time L^2 estimate is useful again.

We remark that the global existence fails in general, by results of John [5] and Sideris [16]. However, if we assume $F(\partial\phi)=0$, we can expect the global existence of the Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2). Lindblad [8] proved the global existence of classical solutions for small and smooth initial data, assuming radial symmetry. When the radial symmetry is not imposed, it is known by Alinhac [1] that the global existence remains true for small and smooth data. Furthermore, Lindblad [11] considered more general quasilinear wave equations and proved the global existence result. Note that all these results require considerably higher regularity and rapider decay at infinity for the initial data. A significant improvement of this regularity assumption was made by Zhou-Lei [19], in which they proved the global existence for radially symmetric, compactly supported $H^2\times H^1$-data. Compared with that of [19], our analysis in this paper is not strong enough to prove the global existence, but our result in Theorem 1.1 does not require this support assumption.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove a space-time L^2 estimate for a classical solution of the perturbed wave equation. Almost global existence for the initial value problem (1.1), (1.2) is established from Section 3 through Section 5. In Section 3, we define a sequence of successive approximation to (1.1), (1.2) and prove its convergence to a weak solution on some time interval. It turns out to be a strong solution in Section 4, and we further see that the solution actually exists almost globally in Section 5. In Section 6, we discuss the problem of the continuity property of the solution map. For this purpose, we need to confirm that the space-time L^2 estimate is applicable to our low-regularity solutions. After that, we show that the solution map is well-defined, and prove Theorem 1.2.

Throughout this paper, we use the summation convention that repeated upper and lower indices are summed. We use the Greek letters α, β, $\dots$ when the indices are from 0 to n, and the Latin letters a, b, $\dots$ when they run from 1 to

n. We also use the geometric convention of raising and lowering indices with respect to the Minkowski metric $(g_{\alpha\beta}) = \mathrm{diag}(-1, 1, \dots, 1)$. As usual, $x^0 = t$ and $x = (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)$ are the time and the space variables respectively. We remark $-\partial^0 = \partial_0 = \partial/\partial x^0$, $\partial^a = \partial_a = \partial/\partial x^a$ for $a = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We set $r = |x|$ and $\langle r\rangle = \langle x\rangle = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$. We let $|\cdot|$ denote the usual Euclidean norm, namely $|x| = [(x^1)^2 + \cdots + (x^n)^2]^{1/2}$, $|\partial\phi| = [(\partial_t\phi)^2 + (\partial_1\phi)^2 + \cdots + (\partial_n\phi)^2]^{1/2}$ etc.

2. SPACE-TIME L^2 ESTIMATE

In this section, we consider the variable coefficient linear wave equation

$$\partial_t^2\phi - \Delta\phi + h^{\alpha\beta}(t, x)\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta\phi = F(t, x) \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2.1}$$

with initial data

$$\phi(0, \cdot) = f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \partial_t\phi(0, \cdot) = g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{2.2}$$

Using the geometric multiplier method of Rodnianski in the Appendix of [18], we are going to prove a local-in-time space-time L^2 estimate for a solution of (2.1), (2.2) when $n \geq 3$.

Let $h^{\alpha\beta} \in C^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ $(\alpha, \beta = 0, 1, \dots, n)$, and suppose that they are uniformly bounded together with their first derivatives. In addition, we require that they satisfy the following conditions:

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta=0}^{n} |h^{\alpha\beta}(t, x)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2.3}$$

$$h^{\alpha\beta}(t, x) = h^{\beta\alpha}(t, x) \quad \text{for} \quad \begin{array}{l}(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n,\\ \alpha, \beta = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n.\end{array} \tag{2.4}$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n \geq 3$. Let $\phi \in C^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a solution of the initial value problem (2.1), (2.2) satisfying the conditions (2.3), (2.4). Suppose also that $\phi \in C^0([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and*

$$|\partial\phi||F| + \frac{|\phi||F|}{r^{1-2\mu}\langle r\rangle^{2\mu}} \in L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then, for $0 < \mu < 1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 + T)^{-2\mu}\Big(\|r^{-3/2+\mu}\phi\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|r^{-1/2+\mu}\partial\phi\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big) \\ &+\big(\log(2 + T)\big)^{-1}\ \Big(\|r^{-3/2+\mu}\langle r\rangle^{-\mu}\phi\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\qquad + \|r^{-1/2+\mu}\langle r\rangle^{-\mu}\partial\phi\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big) \\ &\leq\ C_1(\|\nabla f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|g\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \\ &\quad + C_1\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(|\partial\phi||F| + \frac{|\phi||F|}{r^{1-2\mu}\langle r\rangle^{2\mu}} + |\partial h||\partial\phi|^2 \\ &\qquad + \frac{|\partial h||\phi\partial\phi|}{r^{1-2\mu}\langle r\rangle^{2\mu}} + \frac{|h||\partial\phi|^2}{r^{1-2\mu}\langle r\rangle^{2\mu}} + \frac{|h||\phi\partial\phi|}{r^{2-2\mu}\langle r\rangle^{2\mu}}\Big)\,dxdt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Here C_1 is a positive constant depending only on n and μ.

We begin by considering a weighted L^2 estimate of the solution of (2.1), (2.2) over the domain $(0, T) \times \{x\,;\, x \in \mathbb{R}^n,\ |x| < 1\}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $n \geq 3$. Let ϕ be the classical solution of (2.1), (2.2) stated in Theorem 2.1. Then, for $0 < \mu < 1/2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}(2.6)\qquad &\int_0^T \int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n;\,|x|<1\}} \Big(\frac{(\partial_t\phi)^2+|\nabla\phi|^2}{r^{1-2\mu}}+\frac{\phi^2}{r^{3-2\mu}}\Big)dxdt\\ &\leq C(\|\nabla f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|g\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)})\\ &\quad +C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(|\partial\phi||F|+\frac{|\phi||F|}{r^{1-2\mu}\langle r\rangle^{2\mu}}+|\partial h||\partial\phi|^2\right.\\ &\qquad \left.+\frac{|\partial h||\phi\partial\phi|}{r^{1-2\mu}\langle r\rangle^{2\mu}}+\frac{|h||\partial\phi|^2}{r^{1-2\mu}\langle r\rangle^{2\mu}}+\frac{|h||\phi\partial\phi|}{r^{2-2\mu}\langle r\rangle^{2\mu}}\right)dxdt.\end{aligned}$$

Here C is a positive constant depending only on n and μ.

Proof. Following [13], we define the energy-momentum tensor

$$\begin{aligned}(2.7)\qquad Q_{\alpha\beta}[\phi]= &\ \partial_\alpha\phi\partial_\beta\phi-\frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}\partial^\gamma\phi\partial_\gamma\phi\\ &-g_{\alpha\gamma}h^{\gamma\delta}\partial_\delta\phi\partial_\beta\phi+\frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}h^{\gamma\delta}\partial_\gamma\phi\partial_\delta\phi\end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha, \beta = 0, 1, \dots, n$, where $(g_{\alpha\beta}) = \mathrm{diag}(-1, 1, \dots, 1)$. For a function $f(r)$ suitably chosen later, we set

$$(2.8)\qquad X^0=0,\ \ X^a=\frac{f(r)x^a}{r}\quad \text{for } a=1,2,\dots,n.$$

Contracting the energy-momentum tensor $Q[\phi]$ with the vector field X, we define

$$(2.9)\qquad P_\alpha[\phi,X]=Q_{\alpha\beta}[\phi]X^\beta\quad \text{for } \alpha=0,1,\dots,n.$$

We also set

$$\pi_{\alpha\beta}=\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\alpha X_\beta+\partial_\beta X_\alpha)\quad \text{for } \alpha,\beta=0,1,\dots,n.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial^\alpha P_\alpha[\phi,X]\\ &= f'(r)(\partial_r\phi)^2+\frac{f(r)}{r}|\not\nabla\phi|^2-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\pi\,\partial^\gamma\phi\partial_\gamma\phi-f(r)(\partial_r\phi)F\\ &\quad -f(r)\partial_\gamma h^{\gamma\delta}\partial_\delta\phi\partial_r\phi+\frac{1}{2}f(r)\partial_r h^{\gamma\delta}\partial_\gamma\phi\partial_\delta\phi-\frac{x^a}{r}f'(r)h^{a\delta}\partial_\delta\phi\partial_r\phi\\ &\quad +\frac{x_a f(r)}{r^2}h^{a\delta}\partial_\delta\phi\partial_r\phi-\frac{f(r)}{r}h^{a\delta}\partial_\delta\phi\partial_a\phi+\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}\pi)h^{\gamma\delta}\partial_\gamma\phi\partial_\delta\phi,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathrm{tr}\pi=f'(r)+(n-1)\frac{f(r)}{r}$$

(see [13], p.199). Here $\not\nabla\phi$ denotes the angular portion of the spatial gradient $\nabla\phi$. Furthermore, we introduce the modified momentum density

$$\begin{aligned}(2.10)\qquad \bar{P}_\alpha[\phi,X]\ =\ &P_\alpha[\phi,X]+\frac{n-1}{2}\left(\frac{f(r)}{r}\right)\phi\partial_\alpha\phi\\ &-\frac{n-1}{4}\partial_\alpha\left(\frac{f(r)}{r}\right)\phi^2-\frac{n-1}{2}\left(\frac{f(r)}{r}\right)g_{\alpha\gamma}h^{\gamma\beta}\phi\partial_\beta\phi\end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^\alpha \bar{P}_\alpha[\phi, X] &= f'(r)(\partial_r\phi)^2 + \frac{f(r)}{r}|\not\nabla\phi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}f'(r)\partial^\gamma\phi\partial_\gamma\phi \\ &\quad -\frac{n-1}{4}\Delta\left(\frac{f(r)}{r}\right)\phi^2 + \bar{R}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R} &= -f(r)(\partial_r\phi)F - \frac{n-1}{2}\left(\frac{f(r)}{r}\right)\phi F \\ &\quad -f(r)(\partial_\gamma h^{\gamma\delta})\partial_\delta\phi\left(\partial_r\phi + \frac{n-1}{2}\frac{\phi}{r}\right) \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2}f(r)(\partial_r h^{\gamma\delta})\partial_\gamma\phi\partial_\delta\phi \\ &\quad -\frac{x_a f'(r)}{r}h^{a\delta}\partial_\delta\phi\left(\partial_r\phi + \frac{n-1}{2}\frac{\phi}{r}\right) \\ &\quad +\frac{x_a f(r)}{r^2}h^{a\delta}\partial_\delta\phi\left(\partial_r\phi + \frac{n-1}{2}\frac{\phi}{r}\right) \\ &\quad -\frac{f(r)}{r}h^{a\delta}\partial_\delta\phi\partial_a\phi + \frac{1}{2}f'(r)h^{\gamma\delta}\partial_\gamma\phi\partial_\delta\phi \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

(see [13], pp.199–200). The identity (2.11) further leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^\alpha \bar{P}_\alpha[\phi, X] &= \frac{1}{2}f'(r)(|\nabla\phi|^2 + |\partial_t\phi|^2) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{f(r)}{r} - f'(r)\right)|\not\nabla\phi|^2 - \frac{n-1}{4}\Delta\left(\frac{f(r)}{r}\right)\phi^2 + \bar{R}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Now we set

$$f(r) = \left(\frac{r}{1+r}\right)^\kappa \tag{2.14}$$

for $0 < \kappa < 1$. We first show that

$$\frac{f(r)}{r} - f'(r) \geq (1-\kappa)\frac{r^{\kappa-1}}{(1+r)^\kappa} \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$\Delta\left(\frac{f(r)}{r}\right) \leq -\frac{\kappa(1-\kappa)}{r^{3-\kappa}(1+r)^{2+\kappa}}. \tag{2.16}$$

In fact, (2.15) is an immediate result of

$$\frac{f(r)}{r} - f'(r) = \frac{r^{\kappa-1}}{(1+r)^\kappa}\left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{1+r}\right).$$

In order to compute $\Delta(f(r)/r)$, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\left(\frac{f(r)}{r}\right) &= r^{1-n}\partial_r\left(r^{n-1}\partial_r\frac{f(r)}{r}\right) \\ &= r^{1-n}\partial_r\left(r^{n-2}\left(f'(r) - \frac{f(r)}{r}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using this identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\left(\frac{f(r)}{r}\right) &= r^{1-n}\partial_r\left(\frac{r^{n-3+\kappa}}{(1+r)^\kappa}\left(\frac{\kappa}{1+r}-1\right)\right)\\ &= \left(\frac{(n-3+\kappa)r^{-3+\kappa}}{(1+r)^\kappa}-\frac{\kappa r^{-2+\kappa}}{(1+r)^{\kappa+1}}\right)\left(\frac{\kappa}{1+r}-1\right)\\ &\quad -\frac{\kappa r^{-2+\kappa}}{(1+r)^{\kappa+2}},\end{aligned}$$

which gives (2.16), because

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\left(\frac{f(r)}{r}\right) &\le \left(\frac{\kappa r^{-3+\kappa}}{(1+r)^\kappa}-\frac{\kappa r^{-2+\kappa}}{(1+r)^{\kappa+1}}\right)\left(\frac{\kappa}{1+r}-1\right)\\ &\le -\frac{\kappa(1-\kappa)}{r^{3-\kappa}(1+r)^{2+\kappa}}.\end{aligned}$$

If we integrate (2.13) over $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n$ and apply the divergence theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left[\frac{1}{2}f'(r)(|\nabla\phi|^2+|\partial_t\phi|^2)+\left(\frac{f(r)}{r}-f'(r)\right)|\not\nabla\phi|^2\right.\\ &\qquad\left.-\frac{n-1}{4}\Delta\left(\frac{f(r)}{r}\right)\phi^2\right]dxdt \qquad (2.17)\\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\bar{P}_0[\phi,X](T,x)dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\bar{P}_0[\phi,X](0,x)dx-\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\bar{R}\,dxdt.\end{aligned}$$

To obtain (2.17), we should note that there exists a sequence $\{R_k\}$ so that $R_k\to\infty$ and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_0^T\int_{|x|=R_k}\bar{P}_a[\phi,X](t,x)\cdot\frac{x^a}{r}\,dSdt=0. \tag{2.18}$$

Indeed, the existence of such a sequence can be easily deduced from $\bar{P}_a[\phi,X]\cdot x^a/r\in L^1([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)$. To see this integrability, we recall (2.7)–(2.10) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{P}_a[\phi,X]\cdot\frac{x^a}{r} &= P_a[\phi,X]\cdot\frac{x^a}{r}+\frac{n-1}{2}\frac{f(r)}{r}\phi\partial_r\phi\\ &\quad -\frac{n-1}{4}\partial_r\left(\frac{f(r)}{r}\right)\phi^2-\frac{n-1}{2}\frac{x_a f(r)}{r^2}h^{a\beta}\phi\partial_\beta\phi\\ &= f(r)(\partial_r\phi)^2-\frac{1}{2}f(r)\partial^\gamma\phi\partial_\gamma\phi-\frac{x_a f(r)}{r}h^{a\delta}\partial_\delta\phi\partial_r\phi\\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2}f(r)h^{\gamma\delta}\partial_\gamma\phi\partial_\delta\phi+\frac{n-1}{2}\frac{f(r)}{r}\phi\partial_r\phi\\ &\quad -\frac{n-1}{4}\partial_r\left(\frac{f(r)}{r}\right)\phi^2-\frac{n-1}{2}\frac{x_a f(r)}{r^2}h^{a\beta}\phi\partial_\beta\phi.\end{aligned}$$

This gives the estimate

$$\left|\bar{P}_a[\phi,X]\cdot\frac{x^a}{r}\right|\le C\left(|\partial\phi|^2+\frac{\phi^2}{r^2}\right),$$

because $|f(r)|\le 1$, $|\partial_r\big(f(r)/r\big)|\le Cr^{-2}$ by (2.14). Hence we conclude $\bar{P}_a[\phi,X]\cdot x^a/r\in L^1([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Hardy's inequality.

Going back to (2.17) and using (2.15), (2.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left[\frac{(\partial_t\phi)^2+|\nabla\phi|^2}{r^{1-\kappa}(1+r)^{1+\kappa}}+\frac{|\not\nabla\phi|^2}{r^{1-\kappa}(1+r)^{\kappa}}+\frac{\phi^2}{r^{3-\kappa}(1+r)^{2+\kappa}}\right]dxdt\\
&\quad\le\ C\left(\|\bar{P}_0[\phi,X](T,\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|\bar{P}_0[\phi,X](0,\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)\\
&\qquad +C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\bar{R}|\,dxdt.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.19}$$

So it remains to bound the right-hand side of (2.19). By (2.7)–(2.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{P}_0[\phi,X] &= P_0[\phi,X]+\frac{n-1}{2}\frac{f(r)}{r}\phi\partial_t\phi+\frac{n-1}{2}\frac{f(r)}{r}h^{0\beta}\phi\partial_\beta\phi\\
&= f(r)\partial_t\phi\partial_r\phi+f(r)h^{0\delta}\partial_\delta\phi\partial_r\phi\\
&\quad+\frac{n-1}{2}\frac{f(r)}{r}\phi\partial_t\phi+\frac{n-1}{2}\frac{f(r)}{r}h^{0\beta}\phi\partial_\beta\phi.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|f(r)|\le 1$ and $|h^{\alpha\beta}|\le 1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\bar{P}_0[\phi,X](T,\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\quad\le\ C\left(\|\partial\phi(T,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\left\|\frac{\phi(T,\cdot)}{r}\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)\\
&\quad\le\ C\|\partial\phi(T,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.20}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\bar{P}_0[\phi,X](0,\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le C\|\partial\phi(0,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\tag{2.21}$$

In addition, the standard energy inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial\phi(T,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\le C\|\partial\phi(0,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\quad+C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(|\partial_t\phi F|+|\partial h||\partial\phi|^2)\,dxdt.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.22}$$

Lastly, we see from (2.12) and (2.14) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\bar{R}| &\le C\left(|\partial\phi||F|+\frac{|\phi||F|}{r^{1-\kappa}(1+r)^{\kappa}}+|\partial h||\partial\phi|^2\right.\\
&\quad\left.+\frac{|\partial h||\phi\partial\phi|}{r^{1-\kappa}(1+r)^{\kappa}}+\frac{|h||\partial\phi|^2}{r^{1-\kappa}(1+r)^{\kappa}}+\frac{|h||\phi\partial\phi|}{r^{2-\kappa}(1+r)^{\kappa}}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{2.23}$$

Combining (2.19)–(2.23), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n;\,|x|<1\}}\left(\frac{|\partial\phi|^2}{r^{1-\kappa}}+\frac{\phi^2}{r^{3-\kappa}}\right)dxdt\\
&\quad\le\ C\|\partial\phi(0,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\qquad+C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(|\partial\phi||F|+\frac{|\phi||F|}{r^{1-\kappa}(1+r)^{\kappa}}+|\partial h||\partial\phi|^2\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+\frac{|\partial h||\phi\partial\phi|}{r^{1-\kappa}(1+r)^{\kappa}}+\frac{|h||\partial\phi|^2}{r^{1-\kappa}(1+r)^{\kappa}}+\frac{|h||\phi\partial\phi|}{r^{2-\kappa}(1+r)^{\kappa}}\right)dxdt.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives (2.6), if we set $\kappa=2\mu$ $(0<\mu<1/2)$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $n \geq 3$. Let ϕ be the classical solution of (2.1), (2.2) stated in Theorem 2.1. Then, for $T > 1$ and $0 < \mu < 1/2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&T^{-2\mu}\int_0^T\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n;\,1<r<T\}}\Big(\frac{(\partial_t\phi)^2+|\nabla\phi|^2}{r^{1-2\mu}}+\frac{\phi^2}{r^{3-2\mu}}\Big)dxdt\\
&+\big(\log(2+T)\big)^{-1}\int_0^T\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n;\,1<r<T\}}\Big(\frac{(\partial_t\phi)^2+|\nabla\phi|^2}{r}+\frac{\phi^2}{r^3}\Big)dxdt\\
&\quad\leq\ C(\|\nabla f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|g\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)})\\
&\qquad+C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(|\partial\phi||F|+\frac{|\phi||F|}{\langle r\rangle}+|\partial h||\partial\phi|^2\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.+\frac{|\partial h||\phi\partial\phi|}{\langle r\rangle}+\frac{|h||\partial\phi|^2}{\langle r\rangle}+\frac{|h||\phi\partial\phi|}{\langle r\rangle^2}\right)dxdt.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.24}$$

Here C is a positive constant depending only on n and μ.

Proof. Though this estimate is essentially proven in [13], we show the proof for the sake of completeness. Just as in the proof of the last lemma, we use the geometric multiplier method. Instead of (2.14), we choose

$$f(r)=\frac{r}{\rho+r}\tag{2.25}$$

(see [13], p.197). If $\rho = 2^k$ and $2^{k-1} \leq r \leq 2^k$ $(k = 1, 2, \dots)$, we can easily see that

$$f'(r) = \frac{\rho}{(\rho+r)^2}\geq\frac{1}{2(\rho+r)},\tag{2.26}$$

$$\frac{f(r)}{r}-f'(r) = \frac{r}{(\rho+r)^2}\geq\frac{1}{3(\rho+r)},\tag{2.27}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
-\Delta\left(\frac{f(r)}{r}\right) &= \frac{(n-3)r+(n-1)\rho}{r(\rho+r)^3}\\
&\geq \frac{(n-1)\rho}{r(\rho+r)^3}\geq\frac{n-1}{(\rho+r)^3}.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.28}$$

Combining (2.17) and (2.26)–(2.28), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\int_{2^{k-1}<r<2^k}\left(\frac{(\partial_t\phi)^2+|\nabla\phi|^2}{2^k+r}+\frac{\phi^2}{(2^k+r)^3}\right)dxdt\\
&\quad\leq\ 4\left(\|\bar P_0[\phi,X](T,\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|\bar P_0[\phi,X](0,\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)\\
&\qquad+4\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\bar R|\,dxdt\quad\text{for } k=1,2,\dots.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.29}$$

We note that the $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$-norm of $\bar P_0[\phi,X](T,x)$ and that of $\bar P_0[\phi,X](0,x)$ have a bound similar to (2.20) and (2.21), respectively, with the constant C independent of k. Concerning $\bar R$, we see from (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\bar R|\ &\leq\ C\left(|\partial\phi||F|+\frac{|\phi||F|}{1+r}+|\partial h||\partial\phi|^2\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+\frac{|\partial h||\phi\partial\phi|}{1+r}+\frac{|h||\partial\phi|^2}{1+r}+\frac{|h||\phi\partial\phi|}{(1+r)^2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for a positive constant C which is independent of k. Therefore, it follows from (2.29) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\int_{2^{k-1}<r<2^k}\left(\frac{(\partial_t\phi)^2+|\nabla\phi|^2}{r}+\frac{\phi^2}{r^3}\right)dxdt \\
&\quad\le\ C\|\partial\phi(0,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\qquad+C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(|\partial\phi||F|+\frac{|\phi||F|}{\langle r\rangle}+|\partial h||\partial\phi|^2\right. \\
&\qquad\quad\left.+\frac{|\partial h||\phi\partial\phi|}{\langle r\rangle}+\frac{|h||\partial\phi|^2}{\langle r\rangle}+\frac{|h||\phi\partial\phi|}{\langle r\rangle^2}\right)dxdt.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.30}$$

Now let N be the smallest integer not smaller than $\log_2 T$. If $0<\mu<1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&T^{-2\mu}\int_0^T\int_{1<r<T}\left(\frac{|\partial\phi|^2}{r^{1-2\mu}}+\frac{\phi^2}{r^{3-2\mu}}\right)dxdt \\
&\qquad+\big(\log(2+T)\big)^{-1}\int_0^T\int_{1<r<T}\left(\frac{|\partial\phi|^2}{r}+\frac{\phi^2}{r^3}\right)dxdt \\
&\le\ T^{-2\mu}\sum_{k=1}^N\int_0^T\int_{2^{k-1}<r<2^k}\left(\frac{|\partial\phi|^2}{r^{1-2\mu}}+\frac{\phi^2}{r^{3-2\mu}}\right)dxdt \\
&\qquad+\big(\log(2+T)\big)^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^N\int_0^T\int_{2^{k-1}<r<2^k}\left(\frac{|\partial\phi|^2}{r}+\frac{\phi^2}{r^3}\right)dxdt \\
&\le\ T^{-2\mu}\sum_{k=1}^N(2^k)^{2\mu}\int_0^T\int_{2^{k-1}<r<2^k}\left(\frac{|\partial\phi|^2}{r}+\frac{\phi^2}{r^3}\right)dxdt \\
&\qquad+\big(\log(2+T)\big)^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^N\int_0^T\int_{2^{k-1}<r<2^k}\left(\frac{|\partial\phi|^2}{r}+\frac{\phi^2}{r^3}\right)dxdt \\
&\le\ C\sup_{k\ge1}\int_0^T\int_{2^{k-1}<r<2^k}\left(\frac{|\partial\phi|^2}{r}+\frac{\phi^2}{r^3}\right)dxdt.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.31}$$

By (2.30) and (2.31), we obtain (2.24). □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. In view of Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, it remains to prove the weighted L^2 estimate of the solution over $(0,T)\times\{x\,;\,x\in\mathbb{R}^n,\ |x|>T\}$. If $0<\mu<1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1+T)^{-2\mu}\int_0^T\int_{r>T}\left(\frac{|\partial\phi|^2}{r^{1-2\mu}}+\frac{\phi^2}{r^{3-2\mu}}\right)dxdt \\
&\qquad+\big(\log(2+T)\big)^{-1}\int_0^T\int_{r>T}\left(\frac{|\partial\phi|^2}{r^{1-2\mu}\langle r\rangle^{2\mu}}+\frac{\phi^2}{r^{3-2\mu}\langle r\rangle^{2\mu}}\right)dxdt \\
&\le\ \frac{C}{T}\int_0^T\int_{r>T}\left(|\partial\phi|^2+\frac{\phi^2}{r^2}\right)dxdt \\
&\le\ C\sup_{0<t<T}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(|\partial\phi|^2+\frac{\phi^2}{r^2}\right)dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Hardy's inequality and the standard energy inequality, we see that the last quantity is bounded by the right-hand side of (2.5). □

3. Local Existence

3.1. **Preliminaries.** This section is concerned with the initial value problem for the quasilinear wave equation (1.1). We ask for a solution of (1.1) with initial values (1.2). For this purpose, we define a sequence of functions as follows.

Firstly, we choose a suitable radially symmetric function $\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and set

$$\rho_j(x) = j^3 \rho(jx) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{3.1}$$

so that

$$\rho_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \operatorname{supp} \rho_j \subset \left\{ x\,;\, x \in \mathbb{R}^3,\ |x| < \frac{1}{j} \right\},$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_j(x)\, dx = 1, \quad \rho_j(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Secondly, we set

$$f_k(x) = \rho_{2^k} * f(x), \quad g_k(x) = \rho_{2^k} * g(x) \tag{3.2}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ As is well known,

$$f_k,\ g_k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$
$$\|f_k - f\|_{H^2} \to 0, \quad \|g_k - g\|_{H^1} \to 0 \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.$$

Note that if f and g are radially symmetric, then f_k and g_k are also radially symmetric. We also have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigl(\|\nabla f_k - \nabla f_{k-1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|g_k - g_{k-1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \bigr) < \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Indeed, we can easily check this by using

$$\|\rho_{2^k} * \varphi - \varphi\|_{L^2} \leq C 2^{-k} \|\varphi\|_{H^1}.$$

Finally, we let $\phi_{-1} \equiv 0$ and define ϕ_k $(k = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$ recursively by solving

$$\partial_t^2 \phi_k - \Delta \phi_k + h(\phi_{k-1}) \Delta \phi_k = F(\partial \phi_{k-1}) \quad \text{in } S_T, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\phi_k(0, \cdot) = f_k, \quad \partial_t \phi_k(0, \cdot) = g_k \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{3.5}$$

In order to ensure that the sequence $\{\phi_k\}$ is well-defined, we will have to assume that $\varepsilon = \|\nabla f\|_{H^1} + \|g\|_{H^1}$ is small enough and $T \leq \exp(A_1 \varepsilon^{-1})$ for some positive constant A_1 (see Lemma 3.3). Applying a standard existence, uniqueness and regularity theorem to (3.4)–(3.5), we will see that, for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, ϕ_k is certainly defined, radially symmetric for all time and satisfies

$$\phi_k \in C^\infty(\overline{S_T}) \cap X_2(T) \bigl(\subset Y_2(T) \bigr). \tag{3.6}$$

In order to estimate the sequence, we will frequently use the Sobolev-type inequalities below.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that $\phi \in \cap_{j=0}^2 C^j([0, T]; H^{2-j}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Then we have*

$$|\phi(t, x)| \leq C_{\mathrm{S}} \|\phi\|_{E_2(T)} \tag{3.7}$$

for $(t, x) \in \overline{S_T}$. If in addition $\phi(t, \cdot)$ is radially symmetric, we also have

$$|\phi(t, x)| \leq C_{\mathrm{S}}\, r^{-1/2} \|\nabla \phi(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$|\partial \phi(t, x)| \leq C_{\mathrm{S}}\, r^{-1/2} \langle r \rangle^{-1/2} \|\phi\|_{E_2(T)} \tag{3.9}$$

for $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\})$. Here C_{S} is a positive constant.

Proof. The inequaliy (3.7) is a result of the Sobolev embeddings $W^{1,6}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$. To obtain (3.8) and (3.9), we use the Sobolev-type inequalities

$$r^{1/2}|v(x)| \le C \sum_{|\alpha|\le 1} \|\nabla\Omega^\alpha v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \tag{3.10}$$

$$r|v(x)| \le C \sum_{|\alpha|\le 2} \|\Omega^\alpha v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + C \sum_{|\alpha|\le 1} \|\nabla\Omega^\alpha v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{3.11}$$

See Lemma 4.2 of [7] and Lemma 3.3 of [15]. Here $\Omega = (\Omega_{12}, \Omega_{23}, \Omega_{31})$, $\Omega_{ab} = x_a\partial_b - x_b\partial_a$ $(a, b = 1, 2, 3)$. Note that $\Omega v = 0$ if v is radially symmetric. Hence, (3.8) immediately follows from (3.10). If we use (3.10) for $r < 1$, and (3.11) for $r > 1$, we get

$$|v(x)| \le Cr^{-1/2}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2}\|v\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

for a radially symmetric function v. Applying this inequality to $\partial_t\phi$, $\partial_r\phi$ and noting $\|\partial_r\phi(t,\cdot)\|_{H^1} \le C\|\nabla\phi(t,\cdot)\|_{H^1}$, we have (3.9). □

If we let $n = 3$ and $\mu = 1/4$ in Theorem 2.1, (2.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\phi\|^2_{Y_1(T)} + \|\phi\|^2_{Z_1(T)} \\
&\quad\le C(\|\nabla f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|g\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}) \\
&\qquad + C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(|\partial\phi||F| + \frac{|\phi||F|}{r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}}\right)dxdt \\
&\qquad + C\left(\|h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)} + \|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)}\right) \\
&\qquad\quad \times\left(\|r^{-5/4}\langle r\rangle^{-1/4}\phi\|^2_{L^2(S_T)} + \|r^{-1/4}\langle r\rangle^{-1/4}\partial\phi\|^2_{L^2(S_T)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we immediately see the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\phi \in C^2(\overline{S_T}) \cap X_1(T)$ be a solution of the wave equation*

$$\partial_t^2\phi - \Delta\phi + h(t,x)\Delta\phi = F \quad \text{in } S_T, \tag{3.12}$$

with initial data $(f,g) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Here $h \in C^1(\overline{S_T})$ is uniformly bounded together with their first derivatives, and it also satisfies

$$\|h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)} \le \frac{1}{6}, \tag{3.13}$$

$$\|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)} < \infty. \tag{3.14}$$

(i) *Suppose $r^{1/4}F \in L^2(S_T)$ and $0 \le t \le T$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial\phi(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\phi\|^2_{Y_1(T)} + \|\phi\|^2_{Z_1(T)} \\
&\quad\le C_2(\|\nabla f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|g\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}) \\
&\qquad + C_2(1+T)^{1/4}\|\phi\|_{Y_1(T)}\|r^{1/4}F\|_{L^2(S_T)} \\
&\qquad + C_2(1+T)^{1/2}\|\phi\|^2_{Y_1(T)}\ \big(\|h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)}\big).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

(ii) *Suppose* $r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}F\in L^2(S_T)$ *and* $0\le t\le T$. *Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial\phi(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}&+\|\phi\|^2_{Y_1(T)}+\|\phi\|^2_{Z_1(T)}\\ \le\ & C_2(\|\nabla f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}+\|g\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)})\\ &+C_2\bigl(\log(2+T)\bigr)^{1/2}\|\phi\|_{Z_1(T)}\|r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}F\|_{L^2(S_T)}\\ &+C_2\log(2+T)\|\phi\|^2_{Z_1(T)}\ \bigl(\|h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)}\\ &\qquad\qquad+\|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)}\bigr).\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

Here C_2 *is a positive constant.*

3.2. Boundedness of $\{\phi_k\}$ in $X_2(T)\cap Y_2(T)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $(f,g)\in H^2_{\rm rad}(\mathbb{R}^3)\times H^1_{\rm rad}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *and set* $\varepsilon=\|\nabla f\|_{H^1}+\|g\|_{H^1}$. *Then there exist positive constants* ε_1, A_1 *so that we can define the sequence* $\{\phi_k\}$ *(see* (3.4), (3.5)*) if* $\varepsilon\le\varepsilon_1$ *and* $T\le\exp(A_1/\varepsilon)$. *In addition, there exists a constant* M_1 *such that*

$$\|\phi_k\|_{E_2(T)}+\|\phi_k\|_{Y_2(T)}+\|\phi_k\|_{Z_2(T)}\le M_1\varepsilon\quad \textit{for } k=0,1,2,\dots$$

Here A_1, ε_1, *and* M_1 *depend on* a, b *and* h.

By Lemma 3.1, there exists a positive number c_0 such that

$$\|\phi\|_{E_2(T)}\le c_0\quad\Longrightarrow\quad |h(\phi(t,x))|\le\frac{1}{6}\tag{3.17}$$

for $(t,x)\in\overline{S_T}$. If $\|\phi_{k-1}\|_{E_2(T)}\le c_0$, then we can apply a standard existence theorem for (3.4), (3.5) to define ϕ_k. We will see in the proof of Lemma 3.3 that $\|\phi_k\|_{E_2(T)}\le c_0$, provided $\varepsilon\le\varepsilon_1$ and $T\le\exp(A_1/\varepsilon)$. This ensures the well-definedness of the sequence $\{\phi_k\}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $\phi,\tilde\phi\in C^\infty(\overline{S_T})\cap X_2(T)$. *Assume that they satisfy*

$$\partial_t^2\phi-\Delta\phi+h(\tilde\phi)\Delta\phi=F(\partial\tilde\phi)\quad \textit{in } S_T\tag{3.18}$$

and $\|\tilde\phi\|_{E_2(T)}\le c_0$. *Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi\|_{E_2(T)}&+\|\phi\|_{Y_2(T)}+\|\phi\|_{Z_2(T)}\\ \le\ & C_3\bigl(\|\partial\phi(0,\cdot)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}+\|\partial\tilde\phi(0,\cdot)\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\bigr)\\ &+C_3\|\tilde\phi\|_{E_2(T)}\bigl(\|\phi\|_{Z_2(T)}+\|\tilde\phi\|_{Z_2(T)}\bigr)\log(2+T).\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

Here, C_3 *is a positive constant depending on* F, h, $C_{\rm S}$ *and* C_2.

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Differentiating (3.18) with respect to x_α ($\alpha=0,1,2,3$), we see that

$$\partial_t^2(\partial_\alpha\phi)-\Delta(\partial_\alpha\phi)+h(\tilde\phi)\Delta(\partial_\alpha\phi)=\partial_\alpha F(\partial\tilde\phi)-\partial_\alpha h(\tilde\phi)\Delta\phi.\tag{3.20}$$

Since $\tilde\phi$ is radially symmetric by the definition of $X_2(T)$, Lemma 3.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned}&|F(\partial\tilde\phi)|\le Cr^{-1/2}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2}\|\tilde\phi\|_{E_2(T)}|\partial\tilde\phi|,\\ &|\partial_\alpha F(\partial\tilde\phi)|\le Cr^{-1/2}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2}\|\tilde\phi\|_{E_2(T)}|\partial^2\tilde\phi|,\\ &|\partial h(\tilde\phi)|\le Cr^{-1/2}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2}\|\tilde\phi\|_{E_2(T)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\|r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}F(\partial\tilde\phi)\|_{L^2_{t,x}}+\|r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}\bigl(\partial_\alpha F(\partial\tilde\phi)-\partial_\alpha h(\tilde\phi)\Delta\phi\bigr)\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\\ &\le C\|\tilde\phi\|_{E_2(T)}\bigl(\|\tilde\phi\|_{Z_2(T)}+\|\phi\|_{Z_2(T)}\bigr)\bigl(\log(2+T)\bigr)^{1/2},\end{aligned}$$

where $L^p_{t,x}$ stands for $L^p(S_T)$. Similarly, we have

$$\|h(\tilde\phi)\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}}+\|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h(\tilde\phi)\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}}\le C\|\tilde\phi\|_{E_2(T)}.$$

Thanks to (3.17), we also see that (3.13) is satisfied for $h(\tilde\phi)$.

Applying (3.16) to (3.18) and (3.20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(3.21)\qquad &\|\partial\phi(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2}+\|\partial^2\phi(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2}+\|\phi\|^2_{Y_2(T)}+\|\phi\|^2_{Z_2(T)}\\ \le\ & C\big(\|\partial\phi(0,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2}+\|\partial^2\phi(0,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2}\big)\\ &+C\|\tilde\phi\|_{E_2(T)}\|\phi\|_{Z_2(T)}\big(\|\tilde\phi\|_{Z_2(T)}+\|\phi\|_{Z_2(T)}\big)\log(2+T)\\ \le\ & C\big(\|\partial\phi(0,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2}+\|\partial^2\phi(0,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2}\big)+\frac12\|\phi\|^2_{Z_2(T)}\\ &+C\|\tilde\phi\|^2_{E_2(T)}\big(\|\tilde\phi\|^2_{Z_2(T)}+\|\phi\|^2_{Z_2(T)}\big)\big(\log(2+T)\big)^2\end{aligned}$$

for $0\le t\le T$. In order to get (3.19) from this estimate, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_t^2\phi(0,\cdot)\|_{L^2}&\le C\|\nabla^2\phi(0,\cdot)\|_{L^2}+C\|\partial\tilde\phi(0,\cdot)\|^2_{L^4}\\ &\le C\|\nabla^2\phi(0,\cdot)\|_{L^2}+C\|\partial\tilde\phi(0,\cdot)\|^2_{H^1}\end{aligned}$$

by (3.18) and Sobolev's inequality. □

Proof of Lemma 3.3. The proof proceeds by induction. First, letting $\phi:=\phi_0$, $\tilde\phi:=0$, we apply Lemma 3.4 to the equation

$$\partial_t^2\phi_0-\Delta\phi_0=0$$

and get

$$(3.22)\qquad \|\phi_0\|_{E_2(T)}+\|\phi_0\|_{Y_2(T)}+\|\phi_0\|_{Z_2(T)}\le C_3(\|\nabla f_0\|_{H^1}+\|g_0\|_{H^1}).$$

Since we easily see from the definition (3.2) of f_k, g_k that

$$(3.23)\qquad \|\nabla f_k\|_{H^1}+\|g_k\|_{H^1}\le\|\nabla f\|_{H^1}+\|g\|_{H^1}=\varepsilon$$

for all $k=0,1,2,\dots$, it follows from (3.22) and (3.23) with $k=0$ that

$$(3.24)\qquad \|\phi_0\|_{E_2(T)}+\|\phi_0\|_{Y_2(T)}+\|\phi_0\|_{Z_2(T)}\le C_3\varepsilon.$$

In order to define ϕ_1 by (3.4)–(3.5), we need to verify that ϕ_0 satisfies $\|\phi_0\|_{E_2(T)}\le c_0$ (see (3.17)). If we take ε_1 small so that the inequality $C_3\varepsilon_1\le c_0$ may hold, (3.24) shows that it is true. Now we are in a position to apply a standard existence, uniqueness, and regularity theorem to (3.4)–(3.5) with $k=1$, and we see that $\phi_1\in C^\infty(\overline{S_T})\cap X_2(T)$. Moreover, by virtue of Lemma 3.4, ϕ_1 enjoys the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}(3.25)\qquad &\|\phi_1\|_{E_2(T)}+\|\phi_1\|_{Y_2(T)}+\|\phi_1\|_{Z_2(T)}\\ \le\ & C_3(\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2)\\ &+C_3\|\phi_0\|_{E_2(T)}\big(\|\phi_1\|_{Z_2(T)}+\|\phi_0\|_{Z_2(T)}\big)\log(2+T),\end{aligned}$$

which immediately leads to

$$\begin{aligned}(3.26)\qquad &\|\phi_1\|_{E_2(T)}+\|\phi_1\|_{Y_2(T)}+\|\phi_1\|_{Z_2(T)}\\ \le\ & \frac43 C_3(\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2)+\frac13\|\phi_0\|_{Z_2(T)},\end{aligned}$$

provided that T is chosen so that the inequality $C_3\|\phi_0\|_{E_2(T)}\log(2+T)\le 1/4$ may hold. Thanks to the boundedness of $\|\phi_0\|_{E_2(T)}$ (see (3.24)), we can choose T in such a way that the last inequality holds. Recalling that $\|\phi_0\|_{Z_2(T)}$ also has a similar bound, we finally conclude that

$$\|\phi_1\|_{E_2(T)} + \|\phi_1\|_{Y_2(T)} + \|\phi_1\|_{Z_2(T)} \le M_1\varepsilon \tag{3.27}$$

for $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_1$, where $M_1 = 4C_3$.

Before proceeding, we must take ε_1 still smaller so that

$$M_1\varepsilon_1 \le c_0 \quad \text{and} \quad C_3M_1\varepsilon_1 \log 3 \le \frac{1}{8} \tag{3.28}$$

may hold. Setting $A_1 := 1/(8C_3M_1)$, we also take T still smaller so that $T \le \exp(A_1/\varepsilon)$, thereby the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &C_3M_1\varepsilon \log(2+T) \\ \le\ & C_3M_1\varepsilon \log(2+e^{A_1/\varepsilon}) \\ \le\ & C_3M_1\varepsilon \log(3e^{A_1/\varepsilon}) \\ =\ & C_3M_1\varepsilon \log 3 + \frac{1}{8} \le \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

may hold for $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_1$.

Now we suppose that we have successively defined $\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{k-1} \in C^\infty(\overline{S_T}) \cap X_2(T)$ by (3.4)–(3.5) satisfying

$$\|\phi_\alpha\|_{E_2(T)} + \|\phi_\alpha\|_{Y_2(T)} + \|\phi_\alpha\|_{Z_2(T)} \le M_1\varepsilon \tag{3.30}$$

for $\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. A repetition of the above argument is all that is needed to show that, according to (3.4)–(3.5), we can define ϕ_k in $C^\infty(\overline{S_T}) \cap X_2(T)$ satisfying the estimate

$$\|\phi_k\|_{E_2(T)} + \|\phi_k\|_{Y_2(T)} + \|\phi_k\|_{Z_2(T)} \le M_1\varepsilon. \tag{3.31}$$

Lemma 3.3 is thus proved by induction. □

3.3. Convergence in $X_1(T) \cap Y_1(T)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\phi^{(i)}, \tilde\phi^{(i)} \in C^\infty(\overline{S_T}) \cap X_2(T)$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Assume that they satisty*

$$\partial_t^2\phi^{(i)} - \Delta\phi^{(i)} + h(\tilde\phi^{(i)})\Delta\phi^{(i)} = F(\partial\tilde\phi^{(i)}) \quad \text{in } S_T$$

and $\|\tilde\phi^{(i)}\|_{E_2(T)} \le c_0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\phi^{(1)} - \phi^{(2)}\|_{E_1(T)} + \|\phi^{(1)} - \phi^{(2)}\|_{Y_1(T)} + \|\phi^{(1)} - \phi^{(2)}\|_{Z_1(T)} \\ &\quad \le C_4\|\partial\phi^{(1)}(0,\cdot) - \partial\phi^{(2)}(0,\cdot)\|_{L^2} \\ &\qquad + C_4\big(\|\tilde\phi^{(1)}\|_{E_2(T)} + \|\tilde\phi^{(2)}\|_{E_2(T)} + \|\phi^{(2)}\|_{Y_2(T)}\big)(1+T)^{1/2} \\ &\qquad\quad \times\big(\|\tilde\phi^{(1)} - \tilde\phi^{(2)}\|_{E_1(T)} + \|\tilde\phi^{(1)} - \tilde\phi^{(2)}\|_{Y_1(T)} \\ &\qquad\qquad + \|\phi^{(1)} - \phi^{(2)}\|_{Y_1(T)}\big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

Here, C_4 is a positive constant depending on F, h, C_{S}, and C_2.

Proof. If we set $\phi^* = \phi^{(1)} - \phi^{(2)}$, it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t^2\phi^* - \Delta\phi^* + h(\tilde\phi^{(1)})\Delta\phi^* \\ =\ & F(\partial\tilde\phi^{(1)}) - F(\partial\tilde\phi^{(2)}) + \big(h(\tilde\phi^{(2)}) - h(\tilde\phi^{(1)})\big)\Delta\phi^{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial\phi^*(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2+\|\phi^*\|_{Y_1(T)}^2+\|\phi^*\|_{Z_1(T)}^2\\
&\quad\leq\ C\|\partial\phi^*(0,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\qquad+C\|r^{1/4}\big(F(\partial\tilde\phi^{(1)})-F(\partial\tilde\phi^{(2)})\big)\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\|\phi^*\|_{Y_1(T)}(1+T)^{1/4}\\
&\qquad+C\|r^{1/4}\big(h(\tilde\phi^{(2)})-h(\tilde\phi^{(1)})\big)\Delta\phi^{(2)}\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\|\phi^*\|_{Y_1(T)}(1+T)^{1/4}\\
&\qquad+C\big(\|h(\tilde\phi^{(1)})\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}}+\|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h(\tilde\phi^{(1)})\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}}\big)\|\phi^*\|_{Y_1(T)}^2(1+T)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $L^p_{t,x}$ denotes $L^p(S_T)$. By Lemma 3.1, we easily see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|F(\partial\tilde\phi^{(1)})-F(\partial\tilde\phi^{(2)})|\\
&\quad\leq\ Cr^{-1/2}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2}(\|\tilde\phi^{(1)}\|_{E_2(T)}+\|\tilde\phi^{(2)}\|_{E_2(T)})|\partial\tilde\phi^{(1)}-\partial\tilde\phi^{(2)}|,\\
&|h(\tilde\phi^{(2)})-h(\tilde\phi^{(1)})|\leq Cr^{-1/2}\|\tilde\phi^{(2)}-\tilde\phi^{(1)}\|_{E_1(T)},\\
&\|h(\tilde\phi^{(1)})\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}}+\|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h(\tilde\phi^{(1)})\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}}\leq C\|\tilde\phi^{(1)}\|_{E_2(T)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the assumption $\|\tilde\phi^{(i)}\|_{E_2(T)}\leq c_0$ is used here. Comparing the second estimate with the first one, we see that the factor $r^{-1/2}$ appearing in the second estimate is weaker than the factor $r^{-1/2}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2}$ appearing in the first one. It is due to the second estimate that we have to use (3.15) instead of (3.16). Combining these estimates and the Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial\phi^*(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2+\|\phi^*\|_{Y_1(T)}^2+\|\phi^*\|_{Z_1(T)}^2\\
&\quad\leq\ C\|\partial\phi^*(0,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\qquad+C\big(\|\tilde\phi^{(1)}\|_{E_2(T)}+\|\tilde\phi^{(2)}\|_{E_2(T)}\big)\|\phi^*\|_{Y_1(T)}\|\tilde\phi^*\|_{Y_1(T)}(1+T)^{1/2}\\
&\qquad+C\|\tilde\phi^*\|_{E_1(T)}\|\phi^*\|_{Y_1(T)}\|\phi^{(2)}\|_{Y_2(T)}(1+T)^{1/2}\\
&\qquad+C\|\tilde\phi^{(1)}\|_{E_2(T)}\|\phi^*\|_{Y_1(T)}^2(1+T)^{1/2}\\
&\quad\leq\ C\|\partial\phi^*(0,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2+C\big(\|\tilde\phi^{(1)}\|_{E_2(T)}+\|\tilde\phi^{(2)}\|_{E_2(T)}+\|\phi^{(2)}\|_{Y_2(T)}\big)^2\\
&\qquad\times(1+T)\big(\|\tilde\phi^*\|_{Y_1(T)}+\|\tilde\phi^*\|_{E_1(T)}+\|\phi^*\|_{Y_1(T)}\big)^2+\frac{1}{2}\|\phi^*\|_{Y_1(T)}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde\phi^*=\tilde\phi^{(1)}-\tilde\phi^{(2)}$. This gives (3.32). □

Lemma 3.6. *Let $(f,g)\in H^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^3)\times H^1_{\mathrm{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. There exist positive constants ε_2, A_2 so that the sequence $\{\phi_k\}$ defined in Lemma 3.3 converges to a function $\phi\in X_1(T)\cap Y_1(T)$ if $\|\nabla f\|_{H^1}+\|g\|_{H^1}=\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_2$ and $T\leq A_2\varepsilon^{-2}$. In addition, $\phi\in L^\infty([0,T];H^2(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap C^{0,1}([0,T];H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap Y_2(T)$. Here we denote by $C^{0,1}$ the space of Lipschitz continuous functions. A_2 and ε_2 depend on a, b and h.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_1$ and $T\leq\exp(A_1/\varepsilon)$. Then $\{\phi_k\}$ is well-defined by Lemma 3.3. Moreover, we have

$$\|\phi_k\|_{E_2(T)}+\|\phi_k\|_{Y_2(T)}+\|\phi_k\|_{Z_2(T)}\leq M_1\varepsilon$$

and $\|\phi_k\|_{E_2(T)}\leq c_0$ for $k=0,1,2,\ldots$. Therefore, if

$$C_4\cdot 2M_1\varepsilon(1+T)^{1/2}\leq\frac{1}{4},\tag{3.33}$$

then it follows from Lemma 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\phi_k-\phi_{k-1}\|_{E_1(T)}+\|\phi_k-\phi_{k-1}\|_{Y_1(T)}\\ \le\ &\frac{4}{3}C_4\|\partial\phi_k(0,\cdot)-\partial\phi_{k-1}(0,\cdot)\|_{L^2}\\ &+\frac{1}{3}\big(\|\phi_{k-1}-\phi_{k-2}\|_{E_1(T)}+\|\phi_{k-1}-\phi_{k-2}\|_{Y_1(T)}\big),\end{aligned}$$

which, together with (3.3), implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\big(\|\phi_k-\phi_{k-1}\|_{E_1(T)}+\|\phi_k-\phi_{k-1}\|_{Y_1(T)}\big)<\infty.$$

Hence, $\{\phi_k\}$ turns out to be a Cauchy sequence in $X_1(T)\cap Y_1(T)$ as long as $T\le A_2\varepsilon^{-2}$ and $\varepsilon\le\varepsilon_2$, where A_2 and ε_2 are chosen so that

$$\varepsilon_2\le\varepsilon_1,\quad 2\sqrt{2}C_4M_1\varepsilon_2\le\frac{1}{4},\quad A_2=\frac{1}{2\cdot 8^2C_4^2M_1^2}. \tag{3.34}$$

Now it remains to prove that its limit ϕ belongs to $L^\infty([0,T];H^2(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap C^{0,1}([0,T];H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap Y_2(T)$. Since $\{\partial_\alpha\phi_k(t,\cdot)\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\{\partial_\alpha\phi_k(t,\cdot)\}$ converges to $\partial_\alpha\phi(t,\cdot)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we see that $\{\partial_\alpha\phi_k(t,\cdot)\}$ has a unique limit point $\partial_\alpha\phi(t,\cdot)$ with respect to the weak topology of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Therefore,

$$\|\partial\phi(t,\cdot)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\le\liminf_{k\to\infty}\|\partial\phi_k(t,\cdot)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\le M_1\varepsilon \tag{3.35}$$

for $0\le t\le T\le A_2\varepsilon^{-2}$, which shows that $\phi\in L^\infty([0,T];H^2(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap C^{0,1}([0,T];H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$. By similar arguments, we conclude that $\phi\in Y_2(T)$ and

$$\|\phi\|_{Y_2(T)}+\|\phi\|_{Z_2(T)}\le\liminf_{k\to\infty}\big(\|\phi_k\|_{Y_2(T)}+\|\phi_k\|_{Z_2(T)}\big)\le M_1\varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.6. □

Remark 3.7. Remark that (3.35) holds for **all** $t\in[0,T]$, not to mention almost everywhere. To be precise,

$$\|\partial\phi(t,\cdot)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}+\|\phi\|_{Y_2(T)}+\|\phi\|_{Z_2(T)}\le M_1\varepsilon\quad\text{for all } t\in[0,T].$$

Here M_1 is the constant appearing in Lemma 3.3.

4. REGULARITY

4.1. **Preliminaries.** As a continuation of the previous section, we will show that the limit ϕ of $\{\phi_k\}$ satisfies the equation (1.1) in the strong sense.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(f,g)\in H^2_{\rm rad}(\mathbb{R}^3)\times H^1_{\rm rad}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\varepsilon\le\varepsilon_1$. Assume that the sequence $\{\phi_k\}$ defined in Lemma 3.3 converges to a function ϕ in $X_1(T)\cap Y_1(T)$ for some $T\le\exp(A_1/\varepsilon)$. Then $\phi\in X_2(T)$.*

As before, we set $\varepsilon=\|\nabla f\|_{H^1}+\|g\|_{H^1}$. We have already proven in Lemma 3.6 that the assumptions in this lemma is satisfied for $\varepsilon\le\varepsilon_2$ and $T\le A_2\varepsilon^{-2}$. Note that the proof of Lemma 3.6 implies $\|\partial\phi(t,\cdot)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}+\|\phi\|_{Y_2(T)}+\|\phi\|_{Z_2(T)}\le M_1\varepsilon$ for all $t\in[0,T]$ (see Remark 3.7).

We have to show that $\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta\phi\in C^0([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $\alpha,\beta=0,1,2,3$. Let $t_0\in[0,T]$, and let $\{t_n\}$ be an arbitrary sequence such that

$$t_0+t_n\in(0,T)\quad\text{for } n=1,2,3,\dots\quad\text{and}\quad\lim_{n\to\infty}t_n=0.$$

We first aim at proving

$$\partial_a\partial_\alpha\phi(t_0+t_n,\cdot)\to\partial_a\partial_\alpha\phi(t_0,\cdot)\quad\text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\quad\text{as } n\to\infty,$$

for $a=1,2,3$ and $\alpha=0,1,2,3$. In what follows, we set

$$\psi(t,x)=\phi(t_0+t,x).$$

By the assumption, $\{\partial_\alpha\psi(t_n,\cdot)\}$ is a bounded sequence in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, $\{\partial_\alpha\psi(t_n,\cdot)\}$ converges to $\partial_\alpha\psi(0,\cdot)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence, the sequence $\{\partial_a\partial_\alpha\psi(t_n,\cdot)\}$ converges weakly to $\partial_a\partial_\alpha\psi(0,\cdot)$. To prove the strong convergence, we note the following fact: for a weakly convergent sequence $\{w_n\}$ in a Hilbert space H, the strong convergence is equivalent to the estimate $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\|w_n\|_H\le\|w\|_H$.

In an abuse of notation, we set

$$\|[u,v]\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left[\frac{1}{2}|u(x)|^2+\frac{1}{2}\big(1-h(\psi(0,x))\big)|v(x)|^2\right]dx$$

for $u\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $v=(v_1,v_2,v_3)\in(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3$. By (3.17), this norm is equivalent to the usual norm of $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^4$. So we aim at showing that

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{n\to\infty}&\|[\partial_a\partial_t\psi(t_n,\cdot),\partial_a\nabla\psi(t_n,\cdot)]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\&\le\|[\partial_a\partial_t\psi(0,\cdot),\partial_a\nabla\psi(0,\cdot)]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

Before showing (4.1), let us prove two lemmas needed later.

Lemma 4.2. *Let ρ_k be the function defined by (3.1). Assume $0\le\alpha<3$, $\beta\in\mathbb{R}$, and $0\le\gamma<1$. Then we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\rho_k(y)}{|x-y|^\alpha\langle x-y\rangle^\beta}dy\le C|x|^{-\alpha}\langle x\rangle^{-\beta},\tag{4.2}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\rho_k(y)}{|x-y|^\alpha}dy\le C|x|^{-\alpha},\tag{4.3}$$

$$\int_0^1\frac{d\theta}{|x+\theta z|^\gamma\langle x+\theta z\rangle^\beta}\le C|x|^{-\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{-\beta}\quad\textit{for } |z|\le1,\tag{4.4}$$

$$\int_0^1\frac{d\theta}{|x+\theta z|^\gamma}\le C|x|^{-\gamma}\quad\textit{for } |z|\le1.\tag{4.5}$$

Here, in (4.2)–(4.3), C is a constant independent of k.

Proof. We only prove (4.3) and (4.5), since the others follow from similar arguments. It is obvious that (4.3) holds for $|x|\ge3$, because $2|x|/3\le|x-y|\le2|x|$ when $|y|\le1$. So we suppose $|x|<3$ in what follows. Since $|x|/2\le|x-y|\le3|x|/2$ for $|y|\le|x|/2$, we have

$$\int_{|y|\le|x|/2}\frac{\rho_k(y)}{|x-y|^\alpha}dy\le C|x|^{-\alpha}.$$

If $|x|/2<|y|<1/k$, then we have $|x-y|<3/k$ and $k<2|x|^{-1}$. Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|y|>|x|/2}\frac{\rho_k(y)}{|x-y|^\alpha}dy&\le\int_{|x-y|<3/k}\frac{Ck^3}{|x-y|^\alpha}dy\\&\le Ck^\alpha\le C|x|^{-\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proven (4.3).

We next prove (4.5) only for $|x|<3$, since otherwise the inequality is obviously true. If we set $x_z = x\cdot z/|z|$, then we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 |x+\theta z|^{-\gamma} d\theta & \\ &= \int_0^1 (|x|^2+2\theta x_z|z|+\theta^2|z|^2)^{-\gamma/2} d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{|z|}\int_{x_z}^{x_z+|z|} (\lambda^2+|x|^2-x_z^2)^{-\gamma/2} d\lambda \\ &\le \frac{C}{|z|}\int_{x_z}^{x_z+|z|} \left(|\lambda|+\sqrt{|x|^2-x_z^2}\right)^{-\gamma} d\lambda,\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where $\lambda = x_z+\theta|z|$. Hence the case $|x_z|\le |x|/2$ is easily handled, because we can use $|\lambda|+\sqrt{|x|^2-x_z^2}\ge\sqrt{|x|^2-(|x|/2)^2}=\sqrt{3}|x|/2$. So we assume $|x|/2\le|x_z|\le|x|$ in what follows. Let us set

$$\mathrm{I} = |z|^{-1}\int_{x_z}^{x_z+|z|} \left(|\lambda|+\sqrt{|x|^2-x_z^2}\right)^{-\gamma} d\lambda.$$

- case 1: $x_z\ge 0$.

We simply estimate the integrand as $(\lambda+\sqrt{|x|^2-x_z^2})^{-\gamma}\le\lambda^{-\gamma}\le x_z^{-\gamma}$ and get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{I} &\le |z|^{-1}\int_{x_z}^{x_z+|z|} x_z^{-\gamma}\, d\lambda \\ &\le |z|^{-1}(|x|/2)^{-\gamma}\int_{x_z}^{x_z+|z|} d\lambda = 2^{\gamma}|x|^{-\gamma}.\end{aligned}$$

- case 2: $x_z<0<x_z+|z|$. Note that $|x_z|<|z|$ in this case.

We can write the integral I as $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{I}_1+\mathrm{I}_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{I}_1 &= 2|z|^{-1}\int_0^{|x_z|} \left(\lambda+\sqrt{|x|^2-x_z^2}\right)^{-\gamma} d\lambda, \\ \mathrm{I}_2 &= |z|^{-1}\int_{|x_z|}^{x_z+|z|} \left(\lambda+\sqrt{|x|^2-x_z^2}\right)^{-\gamma} d\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

Since $0\le\gamma<1$ by assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{I}_1 &\le 2|z|^{-1}\int_0^{|x_z|} \lambda^{-\gamma}\, d\lambda \\ &= 2(1-\gamma)^{-1}|z|^{-1}|x_z|^{1-\gamma}\le C|x|^{-\gamma}.\end{aligned}$$

We consider the estimate of I_2 when $|x_z|\le|z|/2$, because $\mathrm{I}_2<0$ otherwise. We can treat this term as in case 1:

$$\mathrm{I}_2\le|z|^{-1}\int_{|x_z|}^{x_z+|z|} |x_z|^{-\gamma}\, d\lambda\le C|x|^{-\gamma}.$$

- case 3: $x_z+|z|\le 0$. Note that $|z|\le|x_z|$ in this case.

By using the substitution $\lambda=-\mu$, we see

$$\mathrm{I}=|z|^{-1}\int_{|x_z|-|z|}^{|x_z|} \left(\mu+\sqrt{|x|^2-x_z^2}\right)^{-\gamma} d\mu.$$

If $|z| \le |x_z|/2$, then $|x_z| - |z| \ge |x_z|/2$. Thus, we get

$$\mathrm{I} \le |z|^{-1} \int_{|x_z|-|z|}^{|x_z|} (|x_z|/2)^{-\gamma}\, d\mu \le C|x|^{-\gamma}.$$

If $|x_z|/2 \le |z| \le |x_z|$, then we estimate as

$$\mathrm{I} \le |z|^{-1} \int_0^{|x_z|} \mu^{-\gamma}\, d\mu \le (1-\gamma)^{-1} z|^{-1}|x_z|^{1-\gamma} \le C|x|^{-\gamma}.$$

Therefore, we have checked that (4.5) holds for all the cases. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\{\phi_k\}$ be the sequence defined in Lemma 3.3. Let ϕ be the function stated in Lemma 4.1. Then for any $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^3$,*

$$\|r^{-1/4}\tau_\eta(\partial\phi_k - \partial\phi)\|_{L^2(S_T)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.$$

Here, $\tau_\eta u(t,x) = u(t, x+\eta)$.

Proof. Set $B(\eta) = \{x\,; x \in \mathbb{R}^3,\ |x-\eta| < 1\}$ and $B(\eta)^c = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B(\eta)$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|r^{-1/4}\tau_\eta(\partial\phi_k - \partial\phi)\|^2_{L^2(S_T)} \\
&\quad= \|(\tau_{-\eta}r^{-1/4})(\partial\phi_k - \partial\phi)\|^2_{L^2(S_T)} \\
&\quad= \|(\tau_{-\eta}r^{-1/4})(\partial\phi_k - \partial\phi)\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times B(\eta))} \\
&\qquad+ \|(\tau_{-\eta}r^{-1/4})(\partial\phi_k - \partial\phi)\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times B(\eta)^c)}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|(\tau_{-\eta}r^{-1/4})(\partial\phi_k - \partial\phi)\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times B(\eta)^c)} = 0$, because $\|(\tau_{-\eta}r^{-1/4})(\partial\phi_k - \partial\phi)\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times B(\eta)^c)} \le T\|\phi_k - \phi\|^2_{E_1(T)}$. To handle the other term, we use Lemma 3.1 and get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial\phi_k(t,x) - \partial\phi(t,x)| &\le C|x|^{-1/2}(\|\phi_k\|_{E_2(T)} + \|\phi\|_{E_2(T)}) \\
&\le C|x|^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\tau_{-\eta}r^{-1/4})(\partial\phi_k - \partial\phi)\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times B(\eta))} \\
&\quad\le C\int_0^T \int_{|x-\eta|<1} |x-\eta|^{-1/2}|x|^{-1/2}|\partial\phi_k(t,x) - \partial\phi(t,x)|dxdt,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|(\tau_{-\eta}r^{-1/4})(\partial\phi_k - \partial\phi)\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times B(\eta))} = 0$ by the Schwarz inequality. □

4.2. **Proof of Lemma 4.1.** We set

$$\psi_k(t,x) = \phi_k(t_0 + t, x) \quad \text{for } t_0 + t \in (0,T),\ k = 0,1,2,\dots$$

Then ψ_k satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t^2(\Delta_\eta\psi_k) - \big(1 - h(\psi_{k-1})\big)\Delta(\Delta_\eta\psi_k) \\
= \Delta_\eta F(\partial\psi_{k-1}) - \Delta_\eta h(\psi_{k-1})\Delta\tau_\eta\psi_k
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

for $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $k = 0,1,2,\dots$, where

$$\tau_\eta u(t,x) = u(t,x+\eta), \quad \Delta_\eta = \tau_\eta - 1.$$

Integrating (4.7)$\times\partial_t\Delta_\eta\psi_k$ as usual, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_t\Delta_\eta\psi_k)^2+\frac{1}{2}\big(1-h(\psi_{k-1})\big)|\nabla\Delta_\eta\psi_k|^2\Big](t,x)\,dx\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_t\Delta_\eta\psi_k)^2+\frac{1}{2}\big(1-h(\psi_{k-1})\big)|\nabla\Delta_\eta\psi_k|^2\Big](0,x)\,dx\\
&\quad+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big[\partial_t\Delta_\eta\psi_k\big(\Delta_\eta F(\partial\psi_{k-1})-\Delta_\eta h(\psi_{k-1})\Delta\tau_\eta\psi_k\big)\\
&\qquad-\frac{1}{2}\partial_t h(\psi_{k-1})|\nabla\Delta_\eta\psi_k|^2+\partial_a h(\psi_{k-1})\partial_t\Delta_\eta\psi_k\partial^a\Delta_\eta\psi_k\Big]\,dxds.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|h(\psi_k(t,x))-h(\psi(t,x))|\\
&\le C\|\psi_k(t,\cdot)-\psi(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^{1/4}\|\psi_k(t,\cdot)-\psi(t,\cdot)\|_{W^{1,6}}^{3/4}\\
&\le C\|\psi_k(t,\cdot)-\psi(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^{1/4}\|\nabla\psi_k(t,\cdot)-\nabla\psi(t,\cdot)\|_{H^1}^{3/4}
\end{aligned}$$

by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality. Hence, passing to the limit, we immediately see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_t\Delta_\eta\psi)^2+\frac{1}{2}\big(1-h(\psi)\big)|\nabla\Delta_\eta\psi|^2\Big](t,x)\,dx\\
&\le\|[\partial_t\Delta_\eta\psi(0,\cdot),\nabla\Delta_\eta\psi(0,\cdot)]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2+C\limsup_{k\to\infty}(\mathrm{I}_k+\mathrm{II}_k+\mathrm{III}_k),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}_k&=\int_{I_t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\partial_t\Delta_\eta\psi_k||\partial\Delta_\eta\psi_{k-1}|\big(|\tau_\eta\partial\psi_{k-1}|+|\partial\psi_{k-1}|\big)\,dxds,\\
\mathrm{II}_k&=\int_{I_t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\partial_t\Delta_\eta\psi_k||\Delta_\eta\psi_{k-1}||\Delta\tau_\eta\psi_k|\,dxds,\\
\mathrm{III}_k&=\int_{I_t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\partial\psi_{k-1}||\partial\Delta_\eta\psi_k|^2\,dxds
\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

and

$$I_t=\begin{cases}(0,t) & \text{if } t>0,\\ (t,0) & \text{if } t<0.\end{cases}\tag{4.10}$$

Let us consider II_k first. By Lemma 3.1 and the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{II}_k&=\int_{I_t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\partial_t\Delta_{-\eta}\psi_k||\Delta_{-\eta}\psi_{k-1}||\Delta\psi_k|\,dxds\\
&\le C\|\Delta_{-\eta}\psi_{k-1}\|_{L^\infty(I_t;H^1)}\int_{I_t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|\partial_t\Delta_{-\eta}\psi_k||\Delta\psi_k|}{r^{1/2}}\,dxds\\
&\le C\|\Delta_{-\eta}\psi_{k-1}\|_{L^\infty(I_t;H^1)}\|r^{-1/4}\Delta_{-\eta}\partial_t\psi_k\|_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
&\qquad\times\|r^{-1/4}\Delta\psi_k\|_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, noting Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty}\mathrm{II}_k\le C\|\Delta_{-\eta}\psi\|_{L^\infty(I_t;H^1)}\|r^{-1/4}\Delta_{-\eta}\partial_t\psi\|_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)}.\tag{4.11}$$

As for III_k, we estimate it as

$$\mathrm{III}_k\le C\|\partial\psi_{k-1}\|_{L^\infty(I_t;H^1)}\|r^{-1/4}\partial\Delta_\eta\psi_k\|_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)}^2$$

by Lemma 3.1. Noting Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 3.3 again, we see

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \mathrm{III}_k \leq C\|r^{-1/4}\Delta_\eta \partial\psi\|^2_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{4.12}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}&\limsup_{k\to\infty} \mathrm{I}_k \\ &\quad\leq \quad C\|r^{-1/4}\Delta_\eta\partial\psi\|^2_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)} + C\|r^{-1/4}\Delta_{-\eta}\partial\psi\|^2_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

As a consequence of (4.8) and (4.11)–(4.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big[\frac12(\partial_t\Delta_\eta\psi)^2 + \frac12\big(1-h(\psi)\big)|\nabla\Delta_\eta\psi|^2\Big](t,x)\,dx \\ &\quad\leq \quad \|[\partial_t\Delta_\eta\psi(0,\cdot),\nabla\Delta_\eta\psi(0,\cdot)]\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\qquad\quad +C\|\Delta_{-\eta}\psi\|_{L^\infty(I_t;H^1)}\|r^{-1/4}\Delta_{-\eta}\partial_t\psi\|_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\qquad\quad +C\|r^{-1/4}\Delta_\eta\partial\psi\|^2_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)} + C\|r^{-1/4}\Delta_{-\eta}\partial\psi\|^2_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Now we set $\eta=\delta e_j$, where e_j is the unit coordinate vector in the x_j direction. Then it is well known that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac12(\partial_t\Delta_{\delta e_j}\psi(0,x))^2dx \leq \delta^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac12(\partial_t\partial_j\psi(0,x))^2dx, \tag{4.15}$$

$$\|\Delta_{-\delta e_j}\psi\|_{L^\infty(I_t;H^1)} \leq |\delta|\|\partial_j\psi\|_{L^\infty(I_t;H^1)}. \tag{4.16}$$

In order to estimate $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac12\big(1-h(\psi(0,x))\big)|\nabla\Delta_{\delta e_j}\psi(0,x)|^2dx$, we note that

$$\|\partial_a\Delta_{\delta e_j}\psi(0,\cdot)-\delta\partial_a\partial_j\psi(0,\cdot)\|_{L^2}=o(\delta),$$

where $o(\delta)/\delta\to 0$ as $\delta\to 0$. Therefore, in view of

$$\begin{aligned}&|\nabla\Delta_{\delta e_j}\psi(0,x)|^2-\delta^2|\nabla\partial_j\psi(0,x)|^2 \\ &\quad= \quad \big(\partial_a\Delta_{\delta e_j}\psi(0,x)-\delta\partial_a\partial_j\psi(0,x)\big)\partial^a\Delta_{\delta e_j}\psi(0,x) \\ &\qquad\quad +\delta\partial_a\partial_j\psi(0,x)\big(\partial^a\Delta_{\delta e_j}\psi(0,x)-\delta\partial^a\partial_j\psi(0,x)\big),\end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac12\big(1-h(\psi(0,x))\big)|\nabla\Delta_{\delta e_j}\psi(0,x)|^2dx \\ &\quad= \quad \delta^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac12\big(1-h(\psi(0,x))\big)|\nabla\partial_j\psi(0,x)|^2dx+o(\delta^2),\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

where $o(\delta^2)/\delta^2\to 0$ as $\delta\to 0$. Hence from (4.14)–(4.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big[\frac12(\partial_t\Delta_{\delta e_j}\psi)^2 + \frac12\big(1-h(\psi)\big)|\nabla\Delta_{\delta e_j}\psi|^2\Big](t,x)\,dx \\ &\quad\leq \quad \delta^2\|[\partial_t\partial_j\psi(0,\cdot),\nabla\partial_j\psi(0,\cdot)]\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\qquad\quad +C|\delta|\|\partial_j\psi\|_{L^\infty(I_t;H^1)}\|r^{-1/4}\Delta_{-\delta e_j}\partial_t\psi\|_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\qquad\quad +C\|r^{-1/4}\Delta_{\delta e_j}\nabla\psi\|^2_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\qquad\quad +C\|r^{-1/4}\Delta_{-\delta e_j}\nabla\psi\|^2_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)}+o(\delta^2).\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

It remains to estimate $\|r^{-1/4}\Delta_{\delta e_j}\partial_\alpha\psi\|_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)}$ $(\alpha=0,1,2,3)$. We first notice that

$$\|r^{-1/4}\Delta_{\delta e_j}\partial_\alpha\psi\|_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)}=\lim_{l\to\infty}\|r^{-1/4}\rho_l*\Delta_{\delta e_j}\partial_\alpha\psi\|_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{4.19}$$

In fact, we can apply a similar argument as Lemma 4.3 to the estimate of $\|r^{-1/4}\bigl(\rho_l * \Delta_{\delta e_j}\partial_\alpha\psi - \Delta_{\delta e_j}\partial_\alpha\psi\bigr)\|^2_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)}$ by dividing the domain of integration as $\mathbb{R}^3 = \{r < 1\} \cup \{r \geq 1\}$. Since $\rho_l * \Delta_{\delta e_j}\partial_\alpha\psi(s,x)$ is smooth with respect to x, we have

$$|\rho_l * \Delta_{\delta e_j}\partial_\alpha\psi(s,x)|^2 \leq \delta^2\int_0^1 |\rho_l * \partial_j\partial_\alpha\psi(s,x+\theta\delta e_j)|^2 d\theta,$$

hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|r^{-1/4}\rho_l * \Delta_{\delta e_j}\partial_\alpha\psi(s,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ &\leq \quad \delta^2\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} r^{-1/2}|\rho_l * \partial_j\partial_\alpha\psi(s,x+\theta\delta e_j)|^2 dxd\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the Schwarz inequality gives

$$|\rho_l * \partial_j\partial_\alpha\psi(s,x+\theta\delta e_j)|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_l(x+\theta\delta e_j - y)|\partial_j\partial_\alpha\psi(s,y)|^2\,dy.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|r^{-1/4}\rho_l * \Delta_{\delta e_j}\partial_\alpha\psi(s,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ &\leq \quad \delta^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_l(y,\delta)|\partial_j\partial_\alpha\psi(s,y)|^2\,dy, \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

where

$$a_l(y,\delta) = \int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x|^{-1/2}\rho_l(x+\theta\delta e_j - y)\,dxd\theta \quad (|\delta| \leq 1).$$

By (4.3) and (4.5), we have

$$a_l(y,\delta) \leq C\int_0^1 |\theta\delta e_j - y|^{-1/2}d\theta \leq C|y|^{-1/2}. \tag{4.21}$$

Consequently, as a result of (4.19)–(4.21), we obtain

$$\|r^{-1/4}\Delta_{\delta e_j}\partial_\alpha\psi\|_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C|\delta|\|r^{-1/4}\partial_j\partial_\alpha\psi\|_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{4.22}$$

Therefore, by (4.18) and (4.22), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Bigl[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_t\Delta_{\delta e_j}\psi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\bigl(1-h(\psi)\bigr)|\nabla\Delta_{\delta e_j}\psi|^2\Bigr](t,x)\,dx\\ &\leq \quad \delta^2\|[\partial_t\partial_j\psi(0,\cdot),\nabla\partial_j\psi(0,\cdot)]\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ &\qquad +C\delta^2\|\partial_j\psi\|_{L^\infty(I_t;H^1)}\|r^{-1/4}\partial_j\partial_t\psi\|_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ &\qquad +C\delta^2\|r^{-1/4}\partial_j\partial\psi\|^2_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)} + o(\delta^2), \end{aligned}$$

which further yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Bigl[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_t\partial_j\psi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\bigl(1-h(\psi)\bigr)|\nabla\partial_j\psi|^2\Bigr](t,x)\,dx\\ &\leq \quad \|[\partial_t\partial_j\psi(0,\cdot),\nabla\partial_j\psi(0,\cdot)]\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ &\qquad +C\|\partial_j\psi\|_{L^\infty(I_t;H^1)}\|r^{-1/4}\partial_j\partial_t\psi\|_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)} + C\|r^{-1/4}\partial_j\partial\psi\|^2_{L^2(I_t\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

for $t_0 + t \in (0,T)$.

Finally, noting

$$\begin{aligned} &|h\bigl(\psi(0,x)\bigr) - h\bigl(\psi(t,x)\bigr)|\\ &\leq \quad C\|\psi(0,\cdot)-\psi(t,\cdot)\|^{1/4}_{L^2}\|\nabla\psi(0,\cdot)-\nabla\psi(t,\cdot)\|^{3/4}_{H^1}, \end{aligned}$$

(4.23) leads to (4.1). Thus we have proven

$$\partial_a\partial_\alpha\phi \in C^0([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \quad \text{for } a=1,2,3,\ \alpha=0,1,2,3. \tag{4.24}$$

To show that $\partial_t^2\phi \in C^0([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$, we use the equation (3.4). Let $v \in C_0^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^3)$. Since the sequence $\{\phi_k\}$ converges to ϕ in $X_1(T)\cap Y_1(T)$, it is easy to verify that the limit of the equation

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\big(\partial_t\phi_k\partial_t v - \nabla\phi_k\cdot\nabla v + \nabla\phi_k\cdot\nabla(h(\phi_{k-1})v)\big)dxdt\\&=\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(\partial\phi_{k-1})vdxdt\end{aligned}$$

as $k\to\infty$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\big(\partial_t\phi\partial_t v - \nabla\phi\cdot\nabla v + \nabla\phi\cdot\nabla(h(\phi)v)\big)dxdt\\&=\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(\partial\phi)vdxdt.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain $\partial_t^2\phi = \Delta\phi - h(\phi)\Delta\phi + F(\partial\phi)$ in $(0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^3$. Since $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\subset L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$, this implies $\partial_t^2\phi\in C^0([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$. □

5. Almost Global Existence

In this section we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. So far, we have proven that there exists a strong solution for the initial value problem (1.1), (1.2) for $T\le A_2\varepsilon^{-2}$, where $\varepsilon = \|\nabla f\|_{H^1}+\|g\|_{H^1}$ and $\varepsilon\le\varepsilon_2$. It remains to show that the solution actually extends to the time $t\le\exp(A_1\varepsilon^{-1})$.

Let us define a sequence $\{\psi_k^{T_1}\}$ by (3.4), (3.5), where we now set f_k, g_k as

$$f_k=\rho_{2^k}*\phi(T_1,\cdot),\qquad g_k=\rho_{2^k}*\partial_t\phi(T_1,\cdot)$$

for $T_1=A_2\varepsilon_2^{-2}$. Note that $\|\nabla\phi(T_1,\cdot)\|_{H^1}+\|\partial_t\phi(T_1,\cdot)\|_{H^1}\le M_1\varepsilon$ (see Remark 3.7). Suppose that ε is small so that $M_1\varepsilon\le\varepsilon_2$. If we choose T smaller so that $T\le A_2(M_1\varepsilon)^{-2}$, then we see by Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.6 that $\|\psi_k^{T_1}\|_{E_2(T)}+\|\psi_k^{T_1}\|_{Y_2(T)}+\|\psi_k^{T_1}\|_{Z_2(T)}\le M_1^2\varepsilon$, and $\{\psi_k^{T_1}\}$ converges to a function ψ^{T_1} in $X_1(T)\cap Y_1(T)$. In addition, $\psi^{T_1}\in X_2(T)\cap Y_2(T)$ and $\|\psi^{T_1}\|_{E_2(T)}+\|\psi^{T_1}\|_{Y_2(T)}+\|\psi^{T_1}\|_{Z_2(T)}\le M_1^2\varepsilon$. We consider another sequence $\{\phi_k^{T_1}\}$ on $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3$, defined by $\phi_k^{T_1}(t,x)=\phi_k(t+T_1,x)$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\psi_k^{T_1}-\phi_k^{T_1}\|_{E_1(T)}+\|\psi_k^{T_1}-\phi_k^{T_1}\|_{Y_1(T)}\\ \le\ & C_4\|\partial\psi_k^{T_1}(0,\cdot)-\partial\phi_k^{T_1}(0,\cdot)\|_{L^2}\\ &+C_4(\|\psi_{k-1}^{T_1}\|_{E_2(T)}+\|\phi_{k-1}^{T_1}\|_{E_2(T)}+\|\phi_k^{T_1}\|_{Y_2(T)})(1+T)^{1/2}\\ &\times\big(\|\psi_{k-1}^{T_1}-\phi_{k-1}^{T_1}\|_{E_1(T)}+\|\psi_{k-1}^{T_1}-\phi_{k-1}^{T_1}\|_{Y_1(T)}+\|\psi_k^{T_1}-\phi_k^{T_1}\|_{Y_1(T)}\big).\end{aligned}$$

We take A_3 and ε_0 so that

$$\begin{aligned}&M_1\varepsilon_0\le\varepsilon_2,\quad C_4(2M_1\varepsilon_0+M_1^2\varepsilon_0)\cdot 2^{1/2}\le\frac14,\\&A_3\le A_2M_1^{-2},\quad A_3\le\frac{1}{2\cdot 4^2C_4^2M_1^2(2+M_1)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Then for $T_2 = A_3\varepsilon_0^{-2}$ and $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$, we have

$$C_4(2M_1\varepsilon + M_1^2\varepsilon)(1+T_2)^{1/2} \le \frac{1}{4},$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\psi_k^{T_1} - \phi_k^{T_1}\|_{E_1(T_2)} + \|\psi_k^{T_1} - \phi_k^{T_1}\|_{Y_1(T_2)}\\
\le\;& \frac{4}{3}C_4\|\partial\psi_k^{T_1}(0,\cdot) - \partial\phi_k^{T_1}(0,\cdot)\|_{L^2}\\
&+\frac{1}{3}\big(\|\psi_{k-1}^{T_1} - \phi_{k-1}^{T_1}\|_{E_1(T_2)} + \|\psi_{k-1}^{T_1} - \phi_{k-1}^{T_1}\|_{Y_1(T_2)}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $\|\psi_k^{T_1} - \phi_k^{T_1}\|_{E_1(T_2)} + \|\psi_k^{T_1} - \phi_k^{T_1}\|_{Y_1(T_2)} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, which implies $\phi_k^{T_1} \to \psi^{T_1}$ in $X_1(T_2) \cap Y_1(T_2)$. Therefore, we have shown that there exists an extension of $\phi \in X_1(T_1)\cap Y_1(T_1)$ such that $\phi_k \to \phi$ in $X_1(T_1+T_2)\cap Y_1(T_1+T_2)$, and it turns out that $\|\phi\|_{E_2(T_1+T_2)} + \|\phi\|_{Y_2(T_1+T_2)} + \|\phi\|_{Z_2(T_1+T_2)} \le M_1\varepsilon$. Repeating this argument, we can extend the solution as long as $T \le \exp(A_1\varepsilon^{-1})$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

6. CONTINUOUS DEPENDENCE ON THE DATA

6.1. **Preliminaries.**

We have proven the almost global existence of a strong solution to the initial value problem (1.1), (1.2). In this section, we further discuss the question whether the solution depends continuously on the data (Theorem 1.2). In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we should weaken the regularity assumptions of Lemma 3.2 so as to apply the estimates (3.15)–(3.16) to low-regularity solutions.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\phi \in C^2(\overline{S_T})\cap X_1(T)$ be a solution of (3.12) satisfying $\|\phi\|_{C^2(\overline{S_T})} < \infty$ with initial data $(f,g) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Assume (3.13), (3.14) and $h \in X_1(T)$. Then the assertions* (i), (ii) *in Lemma 3.2 remain valid.*

Proof. We set

$$h_k(t,\cdot) = \rho_k * h(t,\cdot) \quad \text{for } k = 1,2,3,\dots, \tag{6.1}$$

which is the convolution of ρ_k and $h(t,\cdot)$ as functions on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Recalling the definition of ρ_k, we see that $h_k \in C^1(\overline{S_T})$ and $\|h_k\|_{C^1(\overline{S_T})} < \infty$. Since ϕ satisfies the equation

$$\partial_t^2\phi - \Delta\phi + h_k(t,x)\Delta\phi = F + (h_k - h)\Delta\phi, \tag{6.2}$$

we have, applying the inequality preceding Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial\phi(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\phi\|_{Y_1(T)}^2 + \|\phi\|_{Z_1(T)}^2\\
\le\;& C\big(\|\nabla\phi(0,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t\phi(0,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2\big)\\
&+C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(|\partial\phi||F| + \frac{|\phi||F|}{r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}}\Big)dxdt\\
&+C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(|\partial\phi||(h_k-h)\Delta\phi| + \frac{|\phi||(h_k-h)\Delta\phi|}{r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}}\Big)dxdt\\
&+C\big(\|h_k\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}} + \|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h_k\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}}\big)\\
&\qquad\times\big(\|r^{-5/4}\langle r\rangle^{-1/4}\phi\|_{L^2_{t,x}}^2 + \|r^{-1/4}\langle r\rangle^{-1/4}\partial\phi\|_{L^2_{t,x}}^2\big)
\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \le t \le T$. Here $L^p_{t,x}$ stands for $L^p(S_T)$. Using Lemma 4.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(6.3) \qquad |\partial h_k(t,x)| &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_k(y)|\partial h(t,x-y)|\,dy \\
&\le \|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_k(y)}{|x-y|^{1/2}\langle x-y\rangle^{1/2}} dy \\
&\le C\|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}} |x|^{-1/2}\langle x\rangle^{-1/2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\|h_k\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}} + \|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h_k\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}} \le C\big(\|h\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}} + \|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}}\big).
$$

Therefore, noting

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Big(|\partial\phi||(h_k-h)\Delta\phi| + \frac{|\phi||(h_k-h)\Delta\phi|}{r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}} \Big) dxdt \\
&\quad \le \; C\|\Delta\phi\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}} \big(\|\partial\phi\|_{L^2_{t,x}} + \|\phi/r\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\big) \|h_k-h\|_{L^2_{t,x}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and passing to the limit, we obtain the desired estimate. □

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\phi \in X_1(T)\cap Y_1(T)$ be a weak solution of (3.12) with initial data $(f,g) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Assume (3.13), (3.14) and $h \in X_1(T)$. Then the assertions* (i), (ii) *in Lemma 3.2 remain valid.*

Here the constant C_2 appearing in (3.15)–(3.16) should be replaced by a larger one, which is written as C_2 again. We say that $\phi \in X_1(T)\cap Y_1(T)$ is a weak solution of (3.12) if

$$
(6.4) \qquad -\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_t\phi\partial_t\psi - \partial^j\phi\partial_j\psi + \partial^j\phi\partial_j(h\psi))dxdt = \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F\psi dxdt
$$

holds for all $\psi \in C_0^\infty(S_T)$.

Proof. Let $\bar\phi$ be the zero-extension of ϕ, i.e.

$$
(6.5) \qquad \bar\phi(t,x) = \begin{cases} \phi(t,x) & \text{for } 0 \le t \le T, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

We also extend h, F to $\bar h$, $\bar F$ similarly. We set

$$
\bar\rho_k(t,x) = \rho_k^{(1)}\left(t+\frac{1}{k}\right)\rho_k(x) \quad \text{for } (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3,
$$

where $\rho_k^{(1)}(t)$ is a 1-dimensional counterpart of (3.1). We define

$$
\bar\phi_k(t,x) = \bar\rho_k \bar{*} \bar\phi(t,x),
$$

where $u\bar{*}v$ denotes the convolution of functions u, v on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3$. Here we take k large enough, so that $1/k < T/4$.

We first show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(6.6) \qquad & \partial_t^2\bar\phi_k - \Delta\bar\phi_k + h(t,x)\Delta\bar\phi_k \\
&\quad = \; \bar\rho_k\bar{*}\bar F + \bar h\Delta\bar\phi_k - \partial^j\bar\rho_k\bar{*}(\bar h\overline{\partial_j\phi}) + \bar\rho_k\bar{*}(\overline{\partial^j h}\,\overline{\partial_j\phi})
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0 < t < T - 2/k$. Here, $\overline{\partial_j\phi}$ and $\overline{\partial^j h}$ denote the zero-extensions of $\partial_j\phi$ and $\partial^j h$, respectively. To verify this, we compute $\langle\partial_t^2\bar\phi_k - \Delta\bar\phi_k, \psi\rangle$ for $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3)$, assuming $\operatorname{supp}\psi \subset (0, T-2/k)\times\mathbb{R}^3$. Note that if we define a product $\tilde{*}$ by

$$
u\tilde{*}v = \int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u(s-t, y-x)v(s,y)dyds,
$$

then $\operatorname{supp} \bar{\rho}_k \tilde{*} \psi \subset (0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Therefore, we easily see that

$$\langle \partial_\alpha^2 \bar{\phi}_k, \psi \rangle = -\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\alpha \phi \, \partial_\alpha (\bar{\rho}_k \tilde{*} \psi) dx dt.$$

Hence, by (6.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \partial_t^2 \bar{\phi}_k - \Delta \bar{\phi}_k, \psi \rangle \\ &\quad = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F \cdot \bar{\rho}_k \tilde{*} \psi dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial^j \phi \, \partial_j (h \cdot \bar{\rho}_k \tilde{*} \psi) dx dt \\ &\quad = \langle \bar{\rho}_k \bar{*} \bar{F} + \bar{\rho}_k \bar{*} (\overline{\partial^j \phi} \, \overline{\partial_j h}) - \partial_j \bar{\rho}_k \bar{*} (\bar{h} \overline{\partial^j \phi}), \psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This gives (6.6).

We next show that

$$\begin{aligned} &\| r^{1/4} \langle r \rangle^{1/4} [h \Delta \bar{\phi}_k - \partial^j \bar{\rho}_k \bar{*} (\bar{h} \overline{\partial_j \phi})] \|_{L^2((0,T-2/k)\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &+ \| r^{1/4} \langle r \rangle^{1/4} \bar{\rho}_k \bar{*} (\overline{\partial^j h} \, \overline{\partial_j \phi}) \|_{L^2((0,T-2/k)\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\quad \le C \| r^{1/2} \langle r \rangle^{1/2} \partial h \|_{L^\infty(S_T)} \| r^{-1/4} \langle r \rangle^{-1/4} \nabla \phi \|_{L^2(S_T)} \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. For this purpose, set $u_j = \partial_j \phi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{I}_k &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial^j \bar{\rho}_k (t-s, x-y)(h(t,x) - h(s,y)) u_j(s,y) \, dy ds, \\ \mathrm{II}_k &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \bar{\rho}_k (t-s, x-y) \partial^j h(s,y) u_j(s,y) \, dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we will prove

$$\begin{aligned} &\| r^{1/4} \langle r \rangle^{1/4} \mathrm{I}_k \|_{L^2(S_{T_k})} + \| r^{1/4} \langle r \rangle^{1/4} \mathrm{II}_k \|_{L^2(S_{T_k})} \\ &\quad \le C \| r^{1/2} \langle r \rangle^{1/2} \partial h \|_{L^\infty(S_T)} \| r^{-1/4} \langle r \rangle^{-1/4} \nabla \phi \|_{L^2(S_T)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we set $S_{T_k} = (0, T - 2/k) \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

We first consider the estimate on II_k. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{II}_k| &= \left| \int_0^T \rho_k^{(1)} \left(t - s + \frac{1}{k} \right) \rho_k * (\partial^j h(s,\cdot) u_j(s,\cdot)) ds \right| \\ &\le \left(\int_0^T \rho_k^{(1)} \left(t - s + \frac{1}{k} \right) \left| \rho_k * (\partial^j h(s,\cdot) u_j(s,\cdot)) \right|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

by the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\| r^{1/4} \langle r \rangle^{1/4} \mathrm{II}_k \|_{L^2(S_{T_k})}^2 \\ &\quad \le \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x|^{1/2} \langle x \rangle^{1/2} \left| \rho_k * (\partial^j h(s,\cdot) u_j(s,\cdot)) \right|^2 dx ds \\ &\quad \le \| r^{1/2} \langle r \rangle^{1/2} \partial h \|_{L^\infty(S_T)}^2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x|^{1/2} \langle x \rangle^{1/2} \\ &\qquad\qquad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_k(x-y) |\nabla \phi(s,y)|}{|y|^{1/2} \langle y \rangle^{1/2}} dy \right)^2 dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the last integral, let us set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{II}_k^{(1)} = &\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x|^{1/2}\langle x\rangle^{1/2} \\
&\times \left(\int_{|y|<1/k} \frac{\rho_k(x-y)|\nabla\phi(s,y)|}{|y|^{1/2}\langle y\rangle^{1/2}} dy \right)^2 dxds, \\
\mathrm{II}_k^{(2)} = &\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x|^{1/2}\langle x\rangle^{1/2} \\
&\times \left(\int_{|y|>1/k} \frac{\rho_k(x-y)|\nabla\phi(s,y)|}{|y|^{1/2}\langle y\rangle^{1/2}} dy \right)^2 dxds.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|x| < 2/k$ if $|x-y| < 1/k$ and $|y| < 1/k$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x|^{1/2}\langle x\rangle^{1/2} \int_{|y|<1/k} \frac{\rho_k(x-y)^2}{|y|^{1/2}} dydx \\
\leq\ & C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k^{-1/2} \int_{|y|<1/k} \frac{k^3\rho_k(x-y)}{|y|^{1/2}} dydx \\
=\ & C \int_{|y|<1/k} \frac{k^{5/2}}{|y|^{1/2}} dy \leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{II}_k^{(1)} \leq\ & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x|^{1/2}\langle x\rangle^{1/2} \left(\int_{|y|<1/k} \frac{\rho_k(x-y)^2}{|y|^{1/2}} dy \right) \\
& \times \|r^{-1/4}\langle r\rangle^{-1/4}\nabla\phi(s,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 dxds \\
\leq\ & C\|r^{-1/4}\langle r\rangle^{-1/4}\nabla\phi\|_{L^2(S_T)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, since $|x| \leq 2|y|$ if $|x-y| < 1/k$ and $|y| > 1/k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{II}_k^{(2)} \leq\ & C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_k(x-y)|\nabla\phi(s,y)|}{|y|^{1/4}\langle y\rangle^{1/4}} dy \right)^2 dxds \\
\leq\ & C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_k(x-y)|\nabla\phi(s,y)|^2}{|y|^{1/2}\langle y\rangle^{1/2}} dydxds \\
\leq\ & C\|r^{-1/4}\langle r\rangle^{-1/4}\nabla\phi\|_{L^2(S_T)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}\mathrm{II}_k\|_{L^2(S_{T_k})} \\
&\quad \leq C\|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)}\|r^{-1/4}\langle r\rangle^{-1/4}\nabla\phi\|_{L^2(S_T)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We next turn ourself to the estimate of $\mathrm{I_k}$. Suppose $|t-s| \leq 1/k$, $|x-y| \leq 1/k$ and set $z = y-x$. Using Lemma 4.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&|h(t,x) - h(s,y)| \\
\leq\ & |(t-s, x-y)| \int_0^1 |\partial h(t+\theta(s-t), x+\theta(y-x))|\, d\theta \\
\leq\ & Ck^{-1}\|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)} \int_0^1 \frac{d\theta}{|x+\theta z|^{1/2}\langle x+\theta z\rangle^{1/2}} \\
\leq\ & Ck^{-1}\|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)}|x|^{-1/2}\langle x\rangle^{-1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \le t \le T - 2/k$. Strictly speaking, here we should first approximate $\bar{h}$ as (6.1), and then use (6.3). This justification is easy, so we omit it.

It follows from the above estimate that

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathrm{I}_k| \quad &\le \quad C\|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)}|x|^{-1/2}\langle x\rangle^{-1/2} \\ &\qquad \times \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k^{-1}|\partial^j\bar{\rho}_k(t-s,x-y)||u_j(s,y)|\,dyds.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k^{-1}|\partial^j\bar{\rho}_k(t-s,x-y)|\,dyds \le C$, we proceed as

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathrm{I}_k| \quad &\le \quad C\|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)}|x|^{-1/2}\langle x\rangle^{-1/2} \\ &\qquad \times \left(\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k^{-1}|\partial^j\bar{\rho}_k(t-s,x-y)||u_j(s,y)|^2\,dyds\right)^{1/2}\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \le t \le T - 2/k$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}\mathrm{I}_k\|^2_{L^2(S_{T_k})} &\le C\|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|^2_{L^\infty(S_T)} \\ &\qquad \times \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{k^{-1}|\partial^j\rho_k(x-y)||u_j(s,y)|^2}{|x|^{1/2}\langle x\rangle^{1/2}}\,dydxds.\end{aligned}$$

Since we are able to show

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k^{-1}\frac{|\partial^j\rho_k(x-y)|}{|x|^{1/2}\langle x\rangle^{1/2}}dx \le C|y|^{-1/2}\langle y\rangle^{-1/2}$$

in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}&\|r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}\mathrm{I}_k\|_{L^2(S_{T_k})} \\ &\quad \le C\|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)}\|r^{-1/4}\langle r\rangle^{-1/4}\nabla\phi\|_{L^2(S_T)}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (6.7).

Now we are in a position to apply Lemma 6.1 to (6.6). We can see that $\|\bar{\phi}_k\|_{C^2(S_T)} < \infty$ by the Schwarz inequality. Let us prove below that (3.16) holds in the present setting if $r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}F \in L^2(S_T)$. The inequality (3.15) can be proven similarly. We set

$$F_k = \bar{\rho}_k\bar{*}\bar{F} + \bar{h}\Delta\bar{\phi}_k - \partial^j\bar{\rho}_k\bar{*}(\overline{h\partial_j\phi}) + \bar{\rho}_k\bar{*}(\overline{\partial^j h}\,\overline{\partial_j\phi})$$

(the right-hand side of (6.6)) and estimate $\|r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}F_k\|_{L^2(S_{T_k})}$. By using (6.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}F_k\|_{L^2(S_{T_k})} &\le \|r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}\bar{\rho}_k\bar{*}\bar{F}\|_{L^2(S_{T_k})} \\ &\quad + C\|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)}\|r^{-1/4}\langle r\rangle^{-1/4}\nabla\phi\|_{L^2(S_T)}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

Furthermore, we see that

$$\|r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}\bar{\rho}_k\bar{*}\bar{F}\|_{L^2(S_{T_k})} \le C\|r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}F\|_{L^2(S_T)}.$$

In fact, if we set $G(t,x) = r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}F(t,x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\bar{\rho}_k\bar{*}\bar{F}(t,x)|^2 \quad &= \quad \left|\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\bar{\rho}_k(t-s,x-y)G(s,y)}{|y|^{1/4}\langle y\rangle^{1/4}}dyds\right|^2 \\ &\le \quad Cr^{-1/2}\langle r\rangle^{-1/2}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\bar{\rho}_k(t-s,x-y)|G(s,y)|^2dyds\end{aligned}$$

by the Schwarz inequality and Lemma 4.2, which immediately yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}\bar{\rho}_k \mathbin{\bar{*}} \bar{F}\|^2_{L^2(S_{T_k})}\\
&\quad\le\ C\int_0^{T-2/k}\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_0^T\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\bar{\rho}_k(t-s,x-y)|G(s,y)|^2dydsdxdt\\
&\quad\le\ C\|G\|^2_{L^2(S_T)}=\|r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}F\|^2_{L^2(S_T)},
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. Thus (6.8) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\|r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}F_k\|_{L^2(S_{T_k})}&\le C\|r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}F\|_{L^2(S_T)}\\
&\quad+C\|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)}\|r^{-1/4}\langle r\rangle^{-1/4}\nabla\phi\|_{L^2(S_T)}.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.9}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial\bar{\phi}_k(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}+\|\bar{\phi}_k\|^2_{Y_1(T-2/k)}+\|\bar{\phi}_k\|^2_{Z_1(T-2/k)}\\
&\quad\le\ C\big(\|\nabla\bar{\phi}_k(0,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2}+\|\partial_t\bar{\phi}_k(0,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2}\big)\\
&\qquad+C\big(\log(2+T)\big)^{1/2}\|\bar{\phi}_k\|_{Z_1(T-2/k)}\\
&\qquad\quad\times\ \big(\|r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}F\|_{L^2(S_T)}\\
&\qquad\qquad+\|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)}\|r^{-1/4}\langle r\rangle^{-1/4}\nabla\phi\|_{L^2(S_T)}\big)\\
&\qquad+C\log(2+T)\|\bar{\phi}_k\|^2_{Z_1(T-2/k)}\\
&\qquad\quad\times\big(\|h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)}+\|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)}\big)
\end{aligned}\tag{6.10}$$

for $0<t<T-2/k$.

To estimate $\|\bar{\phi}_k\|_{Z_1(T-2/k)}$ appearing on the right-hand side of (6.10), we note that, by the Schwarz inequality and Lemma 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|r^{-1/4}\langle r\rangle^{-1/4}\partial\bar{\phi}_k\|^2_{L^2(S_{T_k})}\\
&\quad=\ \int_0^{T-2/k}\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|x|^{-1/2}\langle x\rangle^{-1/2}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\left|\int_0^T\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\bar{\rho}_k(t-s,x-y)\partial\phi(s,y)\,dyds\right|^2dxdt\\
&\quad\le\ \int_0^T\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|x|^{-1/2}\langle x\rangle^{-1/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_k(x-y)|\partial\phi(s,y)|^2\,dydxds\\
&\quad\le\ C\|r^{-1/4}\langle r\rangle^{-1/4}\partial\phi\|^2_{L^2(S_T)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\|r^{-5/4}\langle r\rangle^{-1/4}\bar{\phi}_k\|_{L^2(S_{T_k})}\le C\|r^{-5/4}\langle r\rangle^{-1/4}\phi\|_{L^2(S_T)}.$$

Hence, (6.10) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial\bar{\phi}_k(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}+\|\bar{\phi}_k\|^2_{Y_1(T-2/k)}+\|\bar{\phi}_k\|^2_{Z_1(T-2/k)}\\
&\quad\le\ C\big(\|\nabla\bar{\phi}_k(0,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2}+\|\partial_t\bar{\phi}_k(0,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2}\big)\\
&\qquad+C\big(\log(2+T)\big)^{1/2}\|\phi\|_{Z_1(T)}\|r^{1/4}\langle r\rangle^{1/4}F\|_{L^2(S_T)}\\
&\qquad+C\log(2+T)\|\phi\|^2_{Z_1(T)}\ \big(\|h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)}\\
&\qquad\qquad+\|r^{1/2}\langle r\rangle^{1/2}\partial h\|_{L^\infty(S_T)}\big).
\end{aligned}\tag{6.11}$$

Finally, the inequality above passes to the limit as follows.

- $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|\partial_\alpha \bar\phi_k(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \|\partial_\alpha \phi(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ for $0 \le t < T$.

We may assume $0 \le t < T - 2/k$. Then we have

$$\partial_\alpha \bar\phi_k(t,x) \;=\; \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \bar\rho_k(t-s, x-y)\partial_\alpha \phi(s,y)dyds,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_\alpha \bar\phi_k(t,\cdot) - \partial_\alpha \phi(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2}\\
&\le \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \bar\rho_k(t-s,y)\|\partial_\alpha \phi(s,\cdot - y) - \partial_\alpha \phi(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2} dyds\\
&\le \int_0^T \rho_k^{(1)}(t-s+1/k)\|\partial_\alpha \phi(s,\cdot) - \partial_\alpha \phi(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2} ds\\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_k(y)\|\partial_\alpha \phi(t,\cdot - y) - \partial_\alpha \phi(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2} dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\lim_{k\to\infty} \partial_\alpha \bar\phi_k(t,\cdot) = \partial_\alpha \phi(t,\cdot)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

- $\|\phi\|^2_{Y_1(T)} \le \liminf\limits_{k\to\infty} \|\bar\phi_k\|^2_{Y_1(T-2/k)}, \quad \|\phi\|^2_{Z_1(T)} \le \liminf\limits_{k\to\infty} \|\bar\phi_k\|^2_{Z_1(T-2/k)}$.

By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1+T-2/k)^{1/2}\|\bar\phi\|^2_{Y_1(T-2/k)}\\
&= \int_0^{T-2/k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} r^{-5/2}|\bar\phi_k|^2 dxdt + \int_0^{T-2/k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} r^{-1/2}|\partial\bar\phi_k|^2 dxdt\\
&= \int_0^{T-2/k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} r^{-5/2}|\bar\rho_k \bar{*}\bar\phi|^2 dxdt + \int_0^{T-2/k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} r^{-1/2}|\bar\rho_k \bar{*}\overline{\partial\phi}|^2 dxdt.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $\|\phi\|^2_{Y_1(T)} \le \liminf_{k\to\infty} \|\bar\phi_k\|^2_{Y_1(T-2/k)}$ by Fatou's lemma. Similarly, $\|\phi\|^2_{Z_1(T)} \le \liminf_{k\to\infty} \|\bar\phi_k\|^2_{Z_1(T-2/k)}$. □

6.2. **Continuous Dependence on the Data.**

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\phi \in X_2(T) \cap Y_2(T)$ be a solution of (1.1), (1.2). If $\|\nabla f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|g\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_1$ and $T \le \exp(A_1/\varepsilon)$, then we have*

$$\|\phi\|_{E_2(T)} + \|\phi\|_{Y_2(T)} + \|\phi\|_{Z_2(T)} \le M_1\varepsilon. \tag{6.12}$$

Here, ε_1, A_1 and M_1 are the same constants as those in Lemma 3.3.

Proof. Let $\tilde T \le T$ be the largest number such that (6.12) holds. It is obvious that $\tilde T > 0$. Since it is now evident from Lemma 6.2 that Lemma 3.4 holds for $\phi, \tilde\phi \in X_2(T)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\phi\|_{E_2(\tilde T)} + \|\phi\|_{Y_2(\tilde T)} + \|\phi\|_{Z_2(\tilde T)}\\
&\quad \le \; C_3(\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2) + 2C_3M_1\varepsilon\|\phi\|_{Z_2(\tilde T)}\log(2+\tilde T)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

by considering (3.18) in $S_{\tilde T}$ and letting $\tilde\phi := \phi$ in (3.19). Assume $\tilde T < T$. Since $T \le \exp(A_1/\varepsilon)$, it follows from (6.13) and (3.29) that

$$\|\phi\|_{E_2(\tilde T)} + \|\phi\|_{Y_2(\tilde T)} + \|\phi\|_{Z_2(\tilde T)} < \frac{1}{2}M_1\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\|\phi\|_{Z_2(\tilde T)}.$$

This gives $\|\phi\|_{E_2(\tilde T)} + \|\phi\|_{Y_2(\tilde T)} + \|\phi\|_{Z_2(\tilde T)} < M_1\varepsilon$, which contradicts the definition of $\tilde T$. Thus $\tilde T = T$. □

Lemma 6.4. *Let $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in X_2(T) \cap Y_2(T)$ be solutions of (1.1), (1.2). If $\|\nabla f\|_{H^1} + \|g\|_{H^1} = \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_2$ and $T \le \exp(A_1/\varepsilon)$, we have $\phi_1 = \phi_2$.*

Proof. It is obvious from Lemma 6.2 that we can apply Lemma 3.5 to ϕ_1, ϕ_2. By Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 6.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{E_1(1)} + \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{Y_1(1)} \\ \le\ & C_4 \cdot 2M_1\varepsilon \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot \big(\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{E_1(1)} + \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{Y_1(1)}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, noting (3.34), we have $\phi_1 = \phi_2$ for $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_2$ and $0 \le t \le 1$. Applying the same estimate to $\phi_1(t+1, x)$ and $\phi_2(t+1, x)$, we see that $\phi_1 = \phi_2$ for $1 \le t \le 2$. Repeating this procedure, we conclude that $\phi_1 = \phi_2$ as long as $t \le \exp(A_1/\varepsilon)$. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It is evident from Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 6.4 that the map Φ is well-defined. Let (f, g), $(\tilde f, \tilde g) \in D(\varepsilon_0)$. By Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 6.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Phi(f,g) - \Phi(\tilde f, \tilde g)\|_{E_1(t)} + \|\Phi(f,g) - \Phi(\tilde f, \tilde g)\|_{Y_1(t)} \\ \le\ & C_4 \|(\nabla f, g) - (\nabla \tilde f, \tilde g)\|_{L^2} \\ & + 2C_4 \cdot M_1 \varepsilon_0 \cdot (1+t)^{1/2} \\ & \times \big(\|\Phi(f,g) - \Phi(\tilde f, \tilde g)\|_{E_1(t)} + \|\Phi(f,g) - \Phi(\tilde f, \tilde g)\|_{Y_1(t)}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Thus for $t \le A_2 \varepsilon_0^{-2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Phi(f,g) - \Phi(\tilde f, \tilde g)\|_{E_1(t)} + \|\Phi(f,g) - \Phi(\tilde f, \tilde g)\|_{Y_1(t)} \\ &\le 2C_4 \left(\|\nabla(f - \tilde f)\|_{L^2} + \|g - \tilde g\|_{L^2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

If we choose N large enough so that $N \cdot A_2 \varepsilon_0^{-2} \ge T_{\varepsilon_0}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Phi(f,g) - \Phi(\tilde f, \tilde g)\|_{E_1(T_{\varepsilon_0})} + \|\Phi(f,g) - \Phi(\tilde f, \tilde g)\|_{Y_1(T_{\varepsilon_0})} \\ &\le (2C_4)^N \left(\|\nabla(f - \tilde f)\|_{L^2} + \|g - \tilde g\|_{L^2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. ☐

Acknowledgements. The authors are very grateful to Jason Metcalfe for his significant suggestions. They also thank the referees for providing constructive comments and criticisms, which have improved the quality of this article.

References

1. S. Alinhac, *An example of blowup at infinity for quasilinear wave equations*, Asterisque **284** (2003), 1–91.
2. K. Hidano and K. Yokoyama, *A remark on the almost global existence theorem of Keel, Smith and Sogge*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **48** (2005), 1–34.
3. K. Hidano and K. Yokoyama, *Space-time L^2-estimates and life-span of the Klainerman-Machedon radial solutions to some semi-linear wave equations*, Differential Integral Equations **19** (2006), 961–980.
4. M. Keel, H. Smith, and C.D. Sogge, *Almost global existence for some semilinear wave equations.* J. Anal. Math. **87** (2002), 265–279.
5. F. John, *Blow-up for quasi-linear wave equations in three space dimensions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (1981), 29–51.
6. S. Klainerman and M. Machedon, *Space-time estimates for null forms and the local existence theorem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **46** (1993), 1221–1268.
7. S. Klainerman and T.C. Sideris, *On almost global existence for nonrelativistic wave equations in 3D*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **49** (1996), 307–321.

8. H. Lindblad, *Global solutions of nonlinear wave equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **45** (1992), 1063–1096.
9. H. Lindblad, *Counterexamples to local existence for semi-linear wave equations*, Amer. J. Math. **118** (1996), 1–16.
10. H. Lindblad, *Counterexamples to local existence for quasilinear wave equations*, Math. Res. Lett. **5** (1998), 605–622.
11. H. Lindblad, *Global solutions of quasilinear wave equations*, Amer. J. Math. **130** (2008), 115–157.
12. J. Metcalfe, private communications, May and November 2005.
13. J. Metcalfe and C.D. Sogge, *Long time existence of quasilinear wave equations exterior to star-shaped obstacles via energy metohds*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **38** (2006), 188–209.
14. G. Ponce and T.C. Sideris, *Local regularity of nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **18** (1993), 169–177.
15. T.C. Sideris, *Nonresonance and global existence of prestressed nonlinear elastic waves*, Ann. of Math. **151** (2000), 849–874.
16. T.C. Sideris, *Global behavior of solutions to nonlinear wave equations in three dimensions*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **8** (1983), 1291–1323.
17. H.F. Smith and D. Tataru, *Sharp local well-posedness results for the nonlinear wave equation*, Ann. of Math. **162** (2005), 291–366.
18. J. Sterbenz, *Angular regularity and Strichartz estimates for the wave equation. With an appendix by Igor Rodnianski*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2005** (2005), 187–231.
19. Y. Zhou and Z. Lei, *Global low regularity solutions of quasi-linear wave equations*, Adv. Differential Equations **13** (2008), 55–104.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Mie University, 1577 Kurimamachiya-cho, Tsu, Mie 514-8507, Japan
E-mail address: `hidano@edu.mie-u.ac.jp`

Department of Mathematics, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA
Current address: Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China
E-mail address: `wangcbo@gmail.com`
URL: `http://www.math.zju.edu.cn/wang/`

Hokkaido Institute of Technology, 7-15-4-1 Maeda, Teine-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 006-8585, Japan
E-mail address: `yokoyama@hit.ac.jp`